

**GUIDANCE FOR THE
CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE
ASSESSMENT REPORTING
SYSTEM (CPARS)**



July 2014

Summary of Significant Changes – July 2014

1. The reference to the National Institutes of Health Contractor Performance System (CPS) has been removed from the preface.
2. A reference to the US Government Accountability Office's (GAO) April 23, 2009 audit GAO-09-374, Federal Contractors: Better Performance Information Needed to Support Agency Contract Award Decisions has been added to the preface.
3. The preface has been updated to state that this document reflects the consolidation of the Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) modules into the Contractor Performance Assessing Reporting System (CPARS).
4. A paragraph summarizing OMB memorandum, Improving the Collection and Use of Information about Contractor Performance and Integrity, dated March 6, 2013 has been added to the preface.
5. The preface has been updated to state that the CPARS Automated Information System (AIS) and website are developed and maintained by the CPARS Program Office at the Naval Sea Logistics Center Portsmouth per direction of the Integrated Acquisition Environment.
6. Paragraph 1.0 Introduction has been updated to remove references to ACASS, CCASS, and the DoD ACASS and CCASS Policy Guides.
7. Paragraph 1.0 Introduction has been updated to state that this document reflects the consolidation of the ACASS and CCASS modules into the overall CPARS application.
8. Paragraph 1.2 Purpose has been updated to remove the reference to separate evaluation areas for Systems and Non-Systems contracts/orders.
9. Paragraph 1.4 CPAR Evaluation Methodology has been updated to include quality control program documentation, schedules and milestones, deficiency reports, safety standard compliance, and labor standard compliance as sources of information to consider when writing an objective evaluation.
10. Paragraph 1.7 Summary of Past Performance Related Systems and Reporting Metrics for each System has been updated to remove references to ACASS and CCASS.
11. Paragraph 2.0 Business Sectors and Dollars Thresholds has been updated to include the reporting thresholds for Systems, Non-Systems, Architect-Engineer, and Construction contracts/orders.
12. Paragraph 2.1 CPARs for Single-Agency Indefinite-Delivery Contracts has been updated.
13. Paragraph 2.2 CPARs for Basic Ordering Agreements (BOAs) and Blanket Purchase Agreements (BPAs) has been updated to require CPARS reporting on only those BPA

orders/calls which exceed the reporting thresholds. The option to combine multiple BPA orders/calls into a single CPAR has been removed; BPA orders/calls must now be reported individually if they exceed the reporting threshold.

14. Paragraph 2.3 Express Reports has been added.
15. Paragraph 2.5 Contractor Team Arrangements has been added.
16. Paragraph 2.13 Contracts/Orders Written by Other Agencies has been updated to include additional guidance.
17. Paragraph 3.2 Department Point of Contact has been updated to include ensuring compliance with reporting requirements and taking all CPARS training as best practices.
18. Paragraph 3.3 Agency Point of Contact has been updated to require Agency Point of Contact approval of CPARS Focal Point access requests when they are submitted to the CPARS Program Office (if applicable) and to include taking all CPARS training as a best practice.
19. Paragraph 3.5.1 CPARS Focal Point has been updated to add a best practice of assigning Alternate Focal Points (system limit 5) to assist the Focal Point with their duties and taking all CPARS training.
20. Paragraph 3.5.1 CPARS Focal Point has been updated to add a best practice of tracking CPARS as they become due; however, this does not relieve the Assessing Officials (AOs) of the responsibility for processing quality reports in a timely manner.
21. Paragraph 3.5.3 AO has been updated to include providing the names of the persons requiring access to the CPAR to the Focal Point as part of the Assessing Official's responsibilities.
22. Paragraph 3.5.3 Assessing Official has been updated to reflect a 60 day contractor comment period and to note that the "Pending" marking is removed from completed evaluations in PPIRS-RC.
23. Paragraph 3.5.4 Designated Contractor Representative has been updated to reflect a 60 day contractor comment period and the fact that evaluations will become available in PPIRS-RC on day 15 following the AO signature date.
24. Paragraph 3.5.5 Reviewing Official has been updated to note that the "Pending" marking is removed from completed evaluations in PPIRS-RC.
25. Section D CPARS Timeline and Workflow has been added.
26. Table 1 – Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official has been revised to include the thresholds for Architect-Engineer and Construction contracts/orders.

27. Paragraph 5.0 Past Performance Reporting Requirement: Compliance and Quality Information has been updated to remove references to ACASS and CCASS and to reflect the revised CPARS evaluation areas.
28. Paragraph 5.1.1 Interim Reports: New Contracts/Orders has been updated to state that an Interim report is required for all new contracts/orders for all business sectors (i.e., Systems, Non-Systems, Architect-Engineer, and Construction).
29. Paragraph 5.1.2 Annual Interim Reports has been updated to state that annual Interim reports are required for all contracts/orders for all business sectors (i.e., Systems, Non-Systems, Architect-Engineer, and Construction).
30. Paragraph 5.4 Consecutive Reports has been added to state that reports for Architect-Engineer and Construction contracts/orders will be retained consecutively in CPARS and PPIRS; however, PPIRS will only display the most recent evaluation.
31. Paragraph 5.5 Administrative Reports has been added.
32. Paragraph 6.1 CPAR Markings and Protection has been updated to remove the prohibition on transmitting CPARS evaluations as an attachment to email and to clarify that the marking requirements apply to all methods of transmission.
33. Paragraph 6.4 CPARS Data Elements has been revised to change the references to “CPARS forms” to “CPARS data elements.”
34. Paragraph 7.1.2. Focal Point List Summary has been updated to remove references to ACASS and CCASS.
35. Paragraph 7.2.2 Auto Register Report Summary has been updated to remove references to ACASS and CCASS.
36. Paragraph 7.3.1 Status Report Access Levels has been updated to remove a reference to the Contract Data Entry access level.
37. Paragraph 7.3.2 Status Report Summary has been updated to reflect the combination of the CPAR Status Report and the Contract Status Report.
38. Paragraph 7.4.3 Ratings Metrics Report and Legacy Records has been added to state that the Ratings Metrics Report contains only those records generated in CPARS version 4.0.0 and later versions (i.e., those records generated after consolidation of the ACASS and CCASS modules into the overall CPARS application).
39. Paragraph 7.5.3 Processing Times Report and Legacy Records has been added to state that the Processing Times Report contains only those records generated in CPARS version 4.0.0 and later versions (i.e., those records generated after consolidation of the

ACASS and CCASS modules into the overall CPARS application).

40. All paragraphs in Section 7.6 Evaluation Metrics Report have been updated to reflect the name change from the “CPARS Monthly Metrics Report” to the “Evaluation Metrics Report.” Updates have also been made to reflect the fact that this report can now be run from within the CPARS application and to list the CPARS access levels for which this report is available.
41. Paragraph 7.8.2 CPARS Consolidated Monthly Metrics Summary has been updated to reflect the fact that this report no longer shows the percentage of AOs and Contractors finding the CPARS process effective.
42. Numerous examples have been added to Attachment 1 Business Sectors.
43. In Attachment 1 Business Sectors, the Note under Science and Technology – Non Systems has been updated to make evaluating performance under Science and Technology contracts/orders optional for the Department of Defense.
44. The Evaluation Ratings Definitions in Attachment 2 have been updated to reflect Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 42.1503, Table 42-1, and to remove the trend indicator.
45. The Evaluation Ratings Definitions for Utilization of Small Business in Attachment 2 have been updated to reflect FAR 42.1503, Table 42-2, and to remove the trend indicator.
46. A single CPAR evaluation format has been implemented to evaluate all contracts/orders (i.e., Systems, Non-Systems, Architect-Engineer, and Construction). The data elements for a CPARS evaluation are shown in Attachment 3.
47. Paragraph A3.3 Contract Percent Complete has been updated with a revised definition.
48. Paragraph A3.4 Period of Performance Being Assessed has been updated to state that the Period of Performance on the evaluation should be consistent with the dates recorded in the Federal Procurement Data System – Next Generation (FPDS-NG).
49. Paragraph A3.15 Complexity has been added.
50. Paragraph A3.16 Termination Type has been added.
51. Paragraph A3.17 Competition Type has been updated with revised options to reflect those available in the Federal Procurement Data System – Next Generation (FPDS-NG).
52. Paragraph A3.18 Contract Type has been updated with new options and definitions.
53. Paragraph A3.20 Project Number has been added.

54. Paragraph A3.22 Contract Effort Description has been updated to address all Business Sectors.
55. Paragraph A3.25.4 has been added.
56. Paragraph A3.26 Quality has been updated to address all Business Sectors.
57. Paragraph A3.27 Schedule has been updated to address all Business Sectors.
58. Paragraph A3.28 Cost Control has been updated to address all Business Sectors.
59. Paragraph A3.29 Management has been updated to address all Business Sectors.
60. Paragraph A3.30 Utilization of Small Business has been updated to remove the reference to Economically Disadvantaged Women Owned Small Business.
61. Paragraph A3.30.6 has been rewritten.
62. Paragraph A3.31 Regulatory Compliance has been added.
63. Paragraph A3.33 Assessing Official Comments has been updated to reflect that the Assessing Official has 24,000 characters available for comments per evaluation area.
64. Paragraph A3.35 Contractor Comments has been updated to reflect that the Contractor Representative has 24,000 characters available for comments per evaluation area.
65. Paragraph A3.37 Review by Reviewing Official has been updated to reflect that the Reviewing Official has 24,000 characters available for comments.
66. Attachment 5 Features of the CPARS Website has been updated.

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORTING SYSTEM (CPARS)

The Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) requires that contractor performance information be collected (FAR Part 42.15) and used in source selection evaluations (FAR Part 15). Source selection officials rely on clear and timely evaluations of contractor performance to make informed business decisions when awarding government contracts and orders. This information is critical to ensuring that the Federal government only does business with companies that provide quality products and services in support of the agency's missions. In July 2009, the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) issued a memorandum on *Improving the Use of Contractor Performance Information*¹ to reinforce the need to collect, report on and use the past performance information. The Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy identified the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) as the Federal Governmentwide solution for collection of contractor performance information.

In OFPP's January 21, 2011 memorandum, *Improving Contractor Past Performance Assessments: Summary of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy's Review, and Strategies for Improvement*, the Administrator confirmed the requirement to using CPARS and also offered additional steps and strategies for improving the collection and reporting of quality past performance information into CPARS². Additionally, this memorandum required an update and conversion of the Department of Defense (DoD) CPARS Policy Guide to a Governmentwide CPARS Policy Guide. In the US Government Accountability Office's (GAO) April 23, 2009 audit GAO-09-374, *Federal Contractors: Better Performance Information Needed to Support Agency Contract Award Decisions*³, GAO recommended a transition to a single set of evaluation factors for use in contractor performance evaluations. This recommendation necessitated the transition to a single method for collection of contractor performance information though the consolidation of the Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) modules into the overall CPARS application. This document reflects the consolidation of the ACASS and CCASS modules into CPARS.

OMB released the memorandum, *Improving the Collection and Use of Information about Contractor Performance and Integrity*⁴, dated March 6, 2013. The purpose of this memorandum was to establish a baseline for reporting compliance, set aggressive performance targets that can be used to monitor and measure reporting compliance, and ensure the workforce is trained to properly report and use this information. This memorandum establishes a 100% Annual Reporting Performance Target for Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 for past performance reporting compliance on contracts over the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (SAT).

¹ http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/procurement/improving_use_of_contractor_perf_info.pdf

² http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/procurement/contract_perf/PastPerformanceMemo-21-Jan-2011.pdf.

³ <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-09-374>

⁴ <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/procurement/memo/improving-the-collection-and-use-of-information-about-contractor-performance-and-integrity.pdf>

The CPARS process establishes procedures for the collection and use of Past Performance Information (PPI) for all contracts/orders exceeding the thresholds listed in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official.” CPARS-generated PPI is one of the tools used to communicate contractor strengths and weaknesses to source selection officials and Contracting Officers. Communication between the Government and contractor during the performance period is encouraged. The contractor performance evaluation contained in the CPARS is a method of *recording* contractor performance and is not the primary method for *reporting* performance information to the contractor. CPARS should be an objective report of the performance during a period against the contract/order requirements. Information in CPARS represents the contractor’s performance appraisal; therefore the information to support the evaluation (including the rating and narrative to explain the rating) should accurately depict and correspond to the contractor’s performance. Usage of the automated CPARS collection capability is aimed at reducing reliance on paper, improving the business process, and increasing efficiency. CPARS collects contractor performance information and passes it to the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) Report Card (RC), the Governmentwide performance information repository where it can be retrieved by Federal Government Agencies. The CPARS Automated Information System (AIS) collection tool and other CPARS information can be accessed at <https://www.cpars.gov>. The website also includes a comprehensive user manual that describes system functionality, including email notifications. For additional assistance, contact the Customer Support Desk at (207) 438-1690, or email: webptsmh@navy.mil

All CPARS information is treated as “For Official Use Only/Source Selection Information” in accordance with FAR 2.101 and 3.104 and 42.1503. A Contractor Performance Assessment Report (CPAR) is source selection information because it supports ongoing source selections. It contains sensitive data concerning a contractor’s performance under a specific business arrangement as covered by the FAR. A CPAR has the unique characteristic of always being pre-decisional in nature. Distribution of CPARS will be made solely through use of PPIRS-RC at <http://www.ppirs.gov/>. Access to the CPARS AIS and other performance information is restricted to those individuals with an official need to know.

Comments on this guide may be submitted to the CPARS Program Office at webptsmh@navy.mil. Comments that require a change to the guide will be approved by the CPARS/PPIRS/FAPIIS Configuration Control Board.

The guidance provided in this document is based on the authorities prescribed by the FAR and agency supplements. This guidance is non-regulatory in nature and intended to provide useful information and best practices to the workforce for using the CPARS. However, the guide includes a consistent process and procedures for agencies to use when reporting on past performance information and should be read in conjunction with FAR Part 42.15 and other FAR Parts related to past performance information. Additional guidance may be provided by respective agency policies but should not conflict with the FAR or this guide. If any inconsistencies are found between this document and the FAR or agency FAR supplements, the FAR then agency’s supplements, in that order, take precedence.

The CPARS AIS and website are developed and maintained by the CPARS Program Office at the Naval Sea Logistics Center Portsmouth per direction of the Integrated Award Environment. The guide will be maintained electronically on the CPARS Web site, at <https://www.cpars.gov>.

Table of Contents

SECTION A – GUIDANCE	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 PURPOSE.....	1
1.3 RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETING CPARS	2
1.4 CPAR EVALUATION METHODOLOGY	2
1.5 USES OF SUMMARY CPAR DATA	3
1.6 SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST/CHANGE-OF-NAME/NOVATION	4
1.7 SUMMARY OF PAST PERFORMANCE RELATED SYSTEMS AND REPORTING METRICS FOR EACH SYSTEM	4
SECTION B - APPLICABILITY AND SCOPE	4
2.0 BUSINESS SECTORS AND DOLLAR THRESHOLDS	4
2.1 CPARS FOR SINGLE-AGENCY INDEFINITE-DELIVERY CONTRACTS (EXCEPT FOR THOSE LISTED IN PARAGRAPH 2.1.1 BELOW)	5
<i>2.1.1 CPARS for Orders Placed Against Another Agency’s Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV), Including Federal Supply Schedules (FSS), Government Wide Acquisition Contracts (GWAC), and Multiple Agency Contracts (MAC)</i>	6
2.2 CPARS FOR BASIC ORDERING AGREEMENTS (BOAs) AND BLANKET PURCHASE AGREEMENTS (BPAs).....	6
2.3 EXPRESS REPORTS	6
2.4 JOINT VENTURES (FAR 4.102)	6
2.5 CONTRACTOR TEAM ARRANGEMENTS (CTAs).....	7
2.6 CLASSIFIED AND SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAMS (SAPs)	7
2.7 SECTION 8(A) DIRECT AWARDS (FAR SECTION 19).....	7
2.8 AWARDS UNDER THE RANDOLPH-SHEPPARD ACT (RSA) TO A STATE LICENSING AGENCY (SLA).....	7
2.9 AWARDS TO THE CANADIAN COMMERCIAL CORPORATION (CCC)	8
2.10 AWARDS UNDER THE ABILITYONE PROGRAM.....	8
2.11 UNDEFINITEZED CONTRACTUAL ACTIONS (UCAs)	8
2.12 SUBCONTRACTOR EVALUATIONS	9
2.13 CONTRACTS/ORDERS WRITTEN BY OTHER AGENCIES	9
SECTION C – RESPONSIBILITIES ASSIGNED	10
3.0 RESPONSIBILITIES	10
3.1 FEDERAL AGENCIES.....	10
3.2 DEPARTMENT POINT OF CONTACT	10
3.3 AGENCY POINT OF CONTACT	10
3.4 CONTRACTING OR REQUIRING OFFICE	11
3.5 CPARS ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	12
<i>3.5.1 CPARS Focal Point</i>	12
<i>3.5.3 Assessing Official Representative (AOR)</i>	13
<i>3.5.4 Assessing Official (AO)</i>	13
<i>3.5.5 Designated Contractor Representative</i>	14
<i>3.5.6 Reviewing Official (RO)</i>	15
SECTION D – CPARS TIMELINE AND WORKFLOW	15

4.0	TIMELINE AND WORKFLOW OVERVIEW	15
4.1	CONTRACT REGISTRATION	15
4.2	ENTER PROPOSED RATINGS AND NARRATIVES.....	16
4.4	CONTRACTOR COMMENTS	16
4.5	REVIEW CONTRACTOR COMMENTS/CLOSE	16
4.6	REVIEWING OFFICIAL COMMENTS/CLOSE.....	17
4.7	EVALUATION TIMEFRAME	17
4.8	CPARS TIMELINE	18
4.9	CPARS TIMELINE	20

TABLE 1 – BUSINESS SECTOR, DOLLAR THRESHOLD AND REVIEWING OFFICIAL 21

SECTION E – FREQUENCY OF REPORTING FOR CONTRACTS AND ORDERS AND TYPES OF REPORTS 22

5.0	PAST PERFORMANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENT: COMPLIANCE AND QUALITY INFORMATION .	22
5.1	INTERIM REPORTS.....	22
	5.1.1 Interim Reports: New Contracts/Orders	22
	5.1.3 Note on Interim Reports	23
5.2	FINAL REPORT	23
5.3	ADDENDUM REPORTS	23
5.4	CONSECUTIVE REPORTS.....	24
5.5	ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS.....	24

SECTION F – ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION 24

6.0	RECORDS RETENTION AND DISPOSITION	24
6.1	CPAR MARKINGS AND PROTECTION	24
6.2	FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA)	25
6.3	USE OF CPARS IN SOURCE SELECTION	25
6.4	CPARS DATA ELEMENTS.....	25

SECTION G – REPORTS..... 25

7.0	TO-DO LIST	25
	7.0.1 To-Do List Access Levels	25
	7.0.2 To-Do List Summary	26
7.1	FOCAL POINT LIST	26
	7.1.1 Focal Point List Access Levels	26
	7.1.2. Focal Point List Summary	26
7.2	AUTO REGISTER REPORT	26
	7.2.1 Auto Register Report Access Levels	26
	7.2.2 Auto Register Report Summary	26
7.3	STATUS REPORT	27
	7.3.1 Status Report Access Levels	27
	DEPARTMENT POINT OF CONTACT, AGENCY POINT OF CONTACT, FOCAL POINT, ALTERNATE FOCAL POINT, ASSESSING OFFICIAL, ASSESSING OFFICIAL REPRESENTATIVE, CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE, SENIOR CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE, REVIEWING OFFICIAL.....	27
	7.3.2 STATUS REPORT SUMMARY	27
7.4	RATINGS METRICS REPORT	27
	7.4.1 Ratings Metrics Report Access Levels	27
	7.4.2 Ratings Metrics Report Summary	27

7.4.3 <i>Ratings Metrics Report and Legacy Records</i>	28
7.5.1 <i>Processing Times Report Access Levels</i>	28
7.5.2 <i>Processing Times Report Summary</i>	28
7.5.3 <i>Processing Times Report and Legacy Records</i>	28
7.6 EVALUATION METRICS REPORT.....	28
7.6.1 <i>Evaluation Metrics Report Access Levels</i>	28
7.6.2 <i>Evaluation Metrics Report Summary</i>	29
7.7 TOTAL USERS BY AGENCY.....	29
7.7.1 <i>Total Users by Agency Access Levels</i>	29
7.7.2 <i>Total Users by Agency Summary</i>	29
7.8 CPARS CONSOLIDATED MONTHLY METRICS.....	29
7.8.1 <i>CPARS Consolidated Monthly Metrics Access Levels</i>	29
7.8.2 <i>CPARS Consolidated Monthly Metrics Summary</i>	29
7.9 PPIRS-RC COMPLIANCE METRIC REPORT	29
SECTION H – REFERENCES.....	31
KEY BUSINESS SECTORS APPLICABLE TO ALL CPARS.....	A1-1
EVALUATION RATINGS DEFINITIONS.....	A2-1
INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING A CPAR.....	A3-1
LIST OF ACRONYMS	A4-1
FEATURES OF THE CPARS WEBSITE (HTTPS://WWW.CPARS.GOV) INCLUDE:	A5-1

Section A – Guidance

1.0 Introduction

This document identifies roles and responsibilities, and provides guidance and procedures for systematically assessing contractor performance as required by Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 42.15. This document pertains only to the CPARS module and not the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) module. For descriptions of CPARS technical functionality, workflow, and flowcharts, see the CPARS User Manual⁵. For FAPIIS information, refer to FAPIIS training at https://www.cpars.gov/allapps/cpartng/webtrain/webtrain_all.htm. This document reflects the consolidation of the Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) modules into the overall CPARS application.

1.1 Background

CPARS is a paperless contractor evaluation system. Use of the CPARS for the thresholds found in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official” is mandatory. This ensures that evaluations will be entered into the CPARS database and subsequently the Past Performance Information Retrieval System – Report Card (PPIRS-RC) and provide a centralized data repository of contractor performance information. Paper copies of the evaluations are to be used only for classified and special access program contracts.

1.2 Purpose

The primary purpose of the CPARS is to ensure that current, complete and accurate information on contractor performance is available for use in procurement source selections. This is accomplished through the PPIRS-RC. Completed performance evaluations are used as a resource in awarding best value contracts and orders to contractors that consistently provide quality, and on-time products and services that conform to contractual requirements. Information collected from contracting officers in PPIRS-RC is used by agency source selection officials and contracting officers from across the government in making award decisions. It is imperative for the CPAR to include detailed, quality⁶ written information because each CPAR submitted to PPIRS-RC is used to effectively communicate contractor strengths and weaknesses to source selection officials. The Contracting Officer should use information available in PPIRS-RC and other sources of information outlined in FAR 9.105-1(c) to support responsibility determinations of prospective contractors. Senior Government officials and contractors may also use the information derived from the CPARS for their own management purposes.

⁵ The CPARS User Manual is available at https://www.cpars.gov/cparsfiles/pdfs/CPARS_User_Manual.pdf.

⁶ The CPARS website includes a quality checklist, see Appendix 6.

The CPAR collects the assessment of a contractor's performance, both strengths and weaknesses, providing a record of performance against a unique contract award or order. Each evaluation must include detailed and complete statements about the contractor's performance and be based on objective data (or measurable, subjective data when objective data are not available) supported by program⁷ and contract/order management data ([see paragraph 1.4](#)). [Attachment 3](#) to this document contains the specific areas to be evaluated for contracts/orders. Performance expectations to be evaluated in the CPAR should be addressed in the Government and contractor's initial post-award meeting and should be shared with the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR), along with the definitions of each rating and the accompanying guidance in preparing the contents of the narrative to justify the rating.

1.3 Responsibility for Completing CPARs

Responsibility for completing quality CPARs in a timely manner rests with the Assessing Official (AO) who will be designated in accordance with Agency policy. The AO may be a Contracting Officer, a Contract Specialist, or Program Manager (PM), or the equivalent individual responsible for program, project, or task/job/delivery order execution. In some Agencies, the AO may also mean the Performance Evaluator, Quality Assurance Evaluator, Requirements Indicator, or COR.

The CPARS process is designed with a series of checks-and-balances to facilitate the objective and consistent evaluation of contractor performance. Both Government and contractor perspectives are captured on the CPAR form. The opportunity to review/comment on the CPAR by the designated Government and contractor personnel together makes a complete CPAR.

In the event there are multiple evaluations on one contract/order due to geographically separated organizations, the AO of the office or organization with the preponderance of the effort (based on largest dollar value) on the contract/order will consolidate the multiple evaluations and provide a consensus evaluation and rating of the performance prior to forwarding to the contractor.

1.4 CPAR Evaluation Methodology

The value of a CPAR to a future source selection team is inextricably linked to the care taken in preparing a quality, timely, and detailed narrative that accurately reflects the contractor's performance under an award. In order to have useful information available the next time an award is imminent, it is of the utmost importance that the AO submits a rating consistent with the definitions of each rating and thoroughly describes the circumstances surrounding a rating. The definitions of each rating, together with related guidance for preparing the narrative, are provided in the Evaluation Ratings Definitions found in [Attachment 2](#).

⁷ Throughout this document, whenever "program" is used, it means the program, project, or requirement for which the procurement was made.

Each evaluation must be based on objective data (or measurable, subjective data when objective data are not available) supportable by program and contract/order management records. The following sources of data should be considered:

- Contractor operations reviews
- Status and progress reviews
- Production and management reviews
- Management and engineering process reviews (e.g. risk management, requirements management, etc.)
- Cost performance reports and other cost and schedule metrics (e.g. Earned Value Management System (EVMS))
- Other program measures and metrics such as:
 - Measures of progress and status of critical resources
 - Measures of product size and stability
 - Measures of product quality and process performance
 - Customer feedback/comments and satisfaction ratings
- Systems engineering and other technical progress reviews
- Technical interchange meetings
- Physical and functional configuration audits
- Quality reviews and quality assurance evaluations
- Functional performance evaluations
- Business System Reviews such as Contractor Purchasing System Reviews or Property Management System Analyses
- Earned contract/order incentives and award fee determinations
- Subcontract Reports
- Quality Control Program documentation
- Schedules and milestones
- Deficiency reports
- Safety standard compliance
- Labor standard compliance

Subjective evaluations concerning the cause or ramifications of the contractor's performance may be provided; however, speculation or conjecture should not be included.

1.5 Uses of Summary CPAR Data

Summary data from the CPARS database or from the reports themselves may be used to measure the status of industry performance and support continuous process improvement. Further analysis of data from the CPARS database may be accomplished by the CPAR Focal Point for Government use but is not authorized for release outside the Government.

1.6 Successor-in-Interest/Change-of-Name/Novation

See FAR 42.12 for guidance in these circumstances since the Dun & Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS), and contractor names may be affected in the CPARS. The AO of each contract/order affected by any such changes is ultimately responsible for ensuring that the contract/order information in the CPARS is current and correct.

1.7 Summary of Past Performance Related Systems and Reporting Metrics for each System

- Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) – Collects and manages past performance evaluations as required by FAR 42.1502.
- Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) – Contains information to support award decisions to entities with a history of proven performance and business integrity. FAPIIS contains information on Terminations for Default, Terminations for Cause, Terminations for Material Failure to Comply, Defective Pricing Actions, Non-Responsibility Determinations, Administrative Agreements, and DoD Determinations of Contractor Fault as required by FAR 9.105, 9.406-3, 9.407-3, and 42.1503, and DFARS 209.105-2.
- Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PIRS) – Single, authorized application to retrieve contractor performance information. PIRS consists of two components, Report Card (RC) and Statistical Reporting (SR). Both components support the FAR requirement to consider past performance information prior to making a contract award in accordance with FAR Parts 9, 13, 15, 36, and 42.

Section B - Applicability and Scope

2.0 Business Sectors and Dollar Thresholds

Contractor performance information must be collected, and a CPAR completed, on contracts/orders for systems and nonsystems exceeding the simplified acquisition threshold in FAR 42.15. Per FAR 42.15, the reporting threshold for architect-engineer contracts/orders is \$30,000 and all contracts/orders terminated for default. Per FAR 42.15, the reporting threshold for construction contracts/orders is \$650,000 and all contracts/orders terminated for default. For DoD systems and nonsystems contracts/orders, reference [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official” for applicable business sectors and dollar thresholds. The AO may elect to complete CPARs below the FAR 42.15 threshold or the thresholds in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official.” If a given contract/order contains a mixture of effort types, the contracting activity will determine which threshold is appropriate based upon the contract/order dollar value of the preponderance of the effort.

Note: It is important that the correct PSC code is used when transmitting procurement data to FPDS so the proper dollar threshold is reported to CPARS.

2.1 CPARS for Single-Agency Indefinite-Delivery Contracts (except for those listed in paragraph 2.1.1 below)

The Contracting Official must ensure that a CPAR is completed in accordance with the reporting thresholds cited in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official.” At a minimum, if the aggregate value of all task/delivery orders against the indefinite-delivery contract exceeds the reporting thresholds, an evaluation must be completed at the base indefinite- delivery contract level.

The Contracting Official must complete a CPAR on each task/delivery order in accordance with the reporting thresholds cited in Table 1 “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official” if the base indefinite-delivery contract has not had a CPAR completed. For indefinite-delivery contracts, the Contracting Official will determine if the CPAR will need to be completed on each task/delivery order or on the base contract.

Reporting on Base

If the base indefinite-delivery contract is evaluated, then **none** of the task/delivery orders placed against it should be evaluated individually. The Contract Number in CPARS should reflect the base indefinite-delivery contract number only.

Reporting on Orders

If the base indefinite-delivery contract is not evaluated, then **all** of the task/delivery orders meeting the reporting threshold placed against it require a CPAR to be completed. For each task/delivery order evaluated individually, the Contract Number in CPARS should reflect the base indefinite-delivery contract number and the Order Number in CPARS should reflect the individual task/delivery order number.

Advice to Contracting Officials regarding indefinite-delivery contracts in cases where each task/delivery order is below the reporting threshold but, by combining the orders, the total meets the reporting threshold:

- A consolidated CPAR at the base contract level can be done provided a single ordering/requiring activity exists and the Contract Effort Description on each order is similar.
- In cases where individual orders could be significantly different, it is generally not feasible to complete a consolidated CPAR. In these cases it would be more appropriate to complete a CPAR on each task/delivery order. When this process is followed, it will be necessary to enter an administrative evaluation at the base indefinite-delivery contract level referencing that evaluations have been completed on the individual orders below the

threshold in order to reflect compliance on the PPIRS Compliance Metrics.

- In cases where the Contracting Official determines that a consolidated CPAR is appropriate, the time for performing the evaluation should be based on the effective date of the base indefinite-delivery contract, and each (if any) subsequent, exercised option year period.
- In cases where the Contracting Official chooses to create a separate CPAR for a task/delivery order, the time for performing the evaluation will be based on the effective date of each individual order.
- For a consolidated CPAR, when possible, include each task/delivery order number and title in the Contract Effort Description.
- For a consolidated CPAR, when possible, provide a narrative of the contractor's performance on each task/delivery order.

2.1.1 CPARs for Orders Placed Against Another Agency's Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV), Including Federal Supply Schedules (FSS), Government Wide Acquisition Contracts (GWAC), and Multiple Agency Contracts (MAC)

It is the responsibility of the AO at the contracting or requiring activity placing the order to complete a separate CPAR for each order when the individual order exceeds the threshold, as listed in [Table 1](#) "Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official." For these evaluations, the period of performance for the evaluation should be based on the effective date/award date of the individual order.

2.2 CPARs for Basic Ordering Agreements (BOAs) and Blanket Purchase Agreements (BPAs)

For BOA and BPA orders/calls, a CPAR should only be completed on each order/call meeting the reporting threshold.

2.3 Express Reports

Express reporting allows agencies to combine multiple contract action reports (CARs) into a single report in the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) (see FAR 4.606(a)(3)). However, if the combined value reported exceeds the CPARS reporting threshold, the contracting officer (CO) will be required to submit a performance evaluation into CPARS.

2.4 Joint Ventures (FAR 4.102)

CPARs should be prepared on contracts/orders for joint ventures. When a joint venture is formed, a unique DUNS number is used which is different from those of the individual

companies that formed the joint venture. A single CPAR will be prepared for the joint venture using that DUNS. If there is a teaming arrangement on a contract where a specific joint venture is not formed, the CPAR is only prepared on the specific prime contractor identified on the contract. All other team members are considered subcontractors to the prime contractor. See Section 2.10 to address subcontractor performance.

2.5 Contractor Team Arrangements (CTAs)

As stated in FAR 9.6, Contractor Team Arrangement is an arrangement in which (1) two or more companies form a partnership or joint venture to act as a potential prime contractor; or (2) a potential prime contractor agrees with one or more other companies to have them act as its subcontractors under a specified Government contract or acquisition program.

If the team arrangement is a joint venture, see section 2.4 of this guide for further instruction on how to evaluate contract performance. If the team arrangement is a prime/sub relationship, evaluations should be conducted on the prime contractor, including any relevant subcontractor information in the description field.

When evaluating CTAs for orders placed against Federal Supply Schedules (FSS), evaluate the team member with most of the revenue. For additional questions related to FSS CTAs see <http://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/200553> or contact the Multiple Award Schedules Help Desk at mashelpdesk@gsa.gov or (800) 488-3111.

2.6 Classified and Special Access Programs (SAPs)⁸

Performance evaluations on classified and SAP contracts/orders are not exempt from past performance reporting requirements. Performance assessment reports on classified programs should be processed in accordance with program security requirements. Copies of classified performance assessment reports will be maintained and distributed in accordance with agency procedures.

2.7 Section 8(a) Direct Awards (FAR Section 19)

Pursuant to specific Agency policy, 8(a) contracts/orders may be issued as a direct award between the contracting office and the 8(a) contractor. Contractor performance evaluations for awards written under this authority should be written on and coordinated directly with the 8(a) contractor.

2.8 Awards under the Randolph-Sheppard Act (RSA) to a State Licensing Agency (SLA)

⁸ 32 CFR 154.17: (DOD) A sensitive program, approved in writing by a head of agency with original top secret classification authority, that imposes need-to-know and access controls beyond those normally provided for access to confidential, secret, or top secret information. The level of controls is based on the criticality of the program and the assessed hostile intelligence threat. The program may be an acquisition program, an intelligence program, or an operations and support program. Also called SAP.

Awards under the RSA to the SLA should have annual performance evaluations completed if they meet the minimum evaluation thresholds in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official.” The performance evaluations should be written on the SLA (typically the State in which the federal installation is located) since the SLA has overall responsibility for all aspects of the performance of the contract/order awarded and the contract/order awarded has been made to the State (verify this with the information on the award cover page).

Evaluators should list the Licensed Blind Operator and Third Party Agreement Holder in Key Subcontractors and Effort Performed, since the preponderance of the effort under awards through the RSA is performed by the Licensed Blind Operator and the Third Party Agreement Holder. Additionally, the DUNS number for the Licensed Blind Operator and the Third Party Agreement Holder must be included. By completing the information as outlined above, subsequent searches in PPIRS-RC will allow source selection officials to obtain performance information when searching by SLA, Licensed Blind Operator or Third Party Agreement Holder.

2.9 Awards to the Canadian Commercial Corporation (CCC)

Awards to the CCC should have annual performance evaluations completed on them if they meet the minimum evaluation threshold in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official.” The performance evaluations should be written on the CCC since the award was made to the CCC that has overall responsibility for performance of the contract/order (verify with information on the award cover page).

Evaluators should list the Canadian subcontractor performing the work in Key Subcontractors and Effort Performed, since the Canadian subcontractor performs the award to the CCC. Additionally, the DUNS number for the Canadian subcontractor (if available) should be included. By completing the information as outlined above, subsequent searches in the PPIRS-RC will allow source selection officials to obtain performance information when searching by CCC or the Canadian subcontractor.

2.10 Awards under the AbilityOne Program

Awards under the AbilityOne Program are exempt from CPARS reporting (with the exception of DoD awards) in accordance with FAR 42.1502. DoD awards under the AbilityOne Program should have annual performance evaluations completed on them if they meet or exceed the evaluation threshold in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official” per [OSD Memorandum “Past Performance Information” dated November 27, 2007](#)⁹.

2.11 Undefinitized Contractual Actions (UCAs)

⁹ <http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/policy/policyvault/2006-0262-DPAP.pdf>

Evaluation information regarding performance under a UCA, including letter contracts and actions where price has not yet been negotiated, should be included in the annual evaluation. If the final negotiated contract/order type is not a cost-type, cost information for the period the UCA was in effect (if applicable) should be included under the cost rating element. If the final negotiated contract/order type is a cost-type, cost information for the entire period of performance should be included under the cost rating element. The narrative shall fully explain the contractor's performance during the UCA, including definitization of the contract/order. The contractor's performance under the UCA should be separately identified but considered in the overall annual ratings.

2.12 Subcontractor Evaluations

CPARs evaluations are not completed for subcontractors. However, evaluation of a contractor's performance should include information on the ability of a prime contractor to manage and coordinate subcontractor efforts, if applicable, as well as compliance with statutory requirements of the Small Business Subcontracting Program. According to FAR 42.1502, past performance evaluations shall include an assessment of contractor performance against, and efforts to achieve, the goals identified in the small business subcontracting plan when the contract includes the clause at [52.219-9](#), Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

2.13 Contracts/Orders Written by Other Agencies

With the exception of FSS, MACs, and GWACs, in cases where the requiring activity and contracting activity are in separate agencies, it is recommended that the two agencies come to an agreement, preferably in writing, as to which agency is responsible for completing the evaluation in CPAR prior to award and should so specify in the contract/order to ensure the CPAR is completed.

OFPP's guidance on *Improving the Management and Use of Interagency Acquisitions* provides guidance to help agencies (1) make sound business decisions to support the use of interagency acquisitions and (2) strengthen the management of assisted acquisitions.¹⁰ The following roles and responsibilities were identified in the preparation of contractor performance evaluations:

- a. Requesting Agency
 - i. Track, measure, and report to the Servicing Agency CO on the performance of the contractor.

- b. Servicing Agency
 - i. Evaluate the contractor's performance, taking into consideration data provided by (a) the COR and (b) the end users from the Requesting Agency when the appointed COR is not an end user.

¹⁰ OFPP's guidance on *Improving the Management and Use of Interagency Acquisitions*
http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/procurement/iac_revised.pdf

ii. Provide evaluations to the contractor as soon as practicable after completion of the evaluation. Review performance with the contractor in accordance with FAR 42.1502.

iii. Document performance in the contract file. (The ultimate completion of the performance evaluation is a responsibility of the Servicing Agency.)

iv. Input data into CPARS.

Section C – Responsibilities Assigned

3.0 Responsibilities

3.1 Federal Agencies

The Federal Agencies are responsible for overseeing the implementation and use of the CPAR system. AOs and Reviewing Officials (ROs) will normally be designated from within the contracting activity or the organization/office/program that identifies the requirement and is in the best position to evaluate contractor performance.

3.2 Department Point of Contact

The Department Point of Contact must be a Government employee. This employee is responsible for administrative oversight of the CPARS process. The Department Point of Contact is typically a senior procurement analyst in the department's procurement policy office. System duties of the Department Point of Contact include:

- Obtaining Department Point of Contact access to CPARS
- Assigning of Agency Points of Contact

Other best practices include:

- Serving on CPARS Configuration Control Board
- Monitoring to ensure effective implementation of the CPARS process
- Implementing an effective CPARS training approach
- Ensuring compliance with reporting requirements
- Take all CPARS training

3.3 Agency Point of Contact

The Agency Point of Contact must be a Government employee. The Agency Point of Contact is typically a procurement analyst in the agency's procurement policy office. System duties of the Agency Point of Contact include:

- Obtaining Agency Point of Contact access to CPARS by contacting the Department Point of Contact

- Coordination, approval, and submittal of subordinate organization CPARS Focal Points to the CPARS Program Office (if applicable)
- Providing metrics for management, as requested

Other best practices include:

- Evaluating quality and compliance metrics of subordinate organizations
- Assistance to subordinate organization CPARS Focal Points (e.g., training, monitoring and policy)
- Reviewing and providing subordinate organization issues to the CPARS Focal Point and/or the CPARS Program Office
- Take all CPARS training

3.4 Contracting or Requiring Office

The contracting or requiring office should:

- Establish procedures to implement CPARS across the organization, including:
 - Developing training requirements for Focal Points (described in section 3.5), Assessing Official Representatives (AORs), AOs, ROs, and contractors
 - Monitoring the timely completion of reports, report integrity (e.g., quality of reports),
 - Overall CPAR system administration
 - Monitoring submittal requirements by dollar value threshold. This should be monitored by review of the Auto Register function in CPARS available at Agency POC and Focal Point access levels.
- Establish a CPAR Focal Point
- Register all new contracts/orders meeting the thresholds identified in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official” in the CPARS AIS within 30 calendar days after contract/order award. Registering the contract/order will establish the record and facilitate subsequent CPARS reporting.

3.5 CPARS Roles and Responsibilities

3.5.1 CPARS Focal Point

The CPARS Focal Point provides overall support for the CPARS process for a particular organization, to include registering contracts, set up and maintenance of user accounts, and general user assistance. Each organization may assign as many Focal Points as required based on volume of CPARS eligible contracts and workload. The CPARS Focal Point will be designated by completing a [Focal Point Access Request Form](#) located at the CPARS web site and obtaining coordination/approval from the Agency Point of Contact authority (if applicable). Once approved by the Agency Point of Contact, the Focal Point's user account will be created by the CPARS Program Office. The CPARS Focal Point must be a Government employee. Contractors, including advisory and assistance support service contractors and personal services contractors, are not allowed to perform this function. Systems duties of the Focal Point include:

- Registering the contract/order in CPARS within 30 calendar days of award using the auto-registration function or by completing the basic contract/order information
- Assigning access authorization for Government and contractor personnel (complete contract/order authorization access based on information from the Contracting Officer, Program/Project Manager, AO and contractor personnel authorized to appoint a designated representative)
- CPARS account management and maintenance (e.g., access changes)
- Control and monitoring of CPARS, including the status of overdue evaluations.

Other best practices include:

- Ensuring all users are properly trained in accordance with Department/Agency policy
- Assigning Alternate Focal Points (system limit 5) to assist the Focal Point with their duties
- Ensuring that CPARS access by Reservists does not result in a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest
- Local processes should be established for the Focal Point to notify the organization's management, if applicable, of reports more than 30 calendar days overdue
- Establishing processes to monitor the integrity (e.g., quality) of the report
- Track and suspense CPARS as they become due; however, this does not relieve the AOs of the responsibility for processing quality reports in a timely manner.
- Take all CPARS training

While the CPARS Focal Point is not directly responsible for the timely submission or content of CPARS reports, they are a resource for information regarding input of CPARS information.

3.5.2 Alternate Focal Point

Alternate Focal Points may assist the Focal Point in performing any of the duties in 3.5.1 with the exception of assigning additional Alternate Focal Points.

3.5.3 Assessing Official Representative (AOR)

AORs typically are assigned from the technical, functional, quality assurance, specialty, program management or contracting offices. Multiple AORs may be assigned per contract/order. Each assigned AOR has the capability of inputting and reviewing information input by the other AORs. The AOR must be a Government employee. System duties of the AOR include:

- Manually registering contract information for specific contracts/orders within 30 calendar days after award (if determined by the contracting activity that this responsibility belongs to an AOR). If the Focal Point has auto registered the contract/order, then the AOR does not need to register the contract/order.
- Providing a timely, accurate, quality, and complete narrative. Refer to the quality checklist at the CPARS website¹¹.

Other best practices include:

- Take CPARS web-based training to include the Quality and Narrative Writing web-based training¹²
- Coordinating, off-line, to determine which AOR will select “Validate and Send to the Assessing Official” since any AOR has that capability (once that choice is selected, AORs are no longer able to input evaluation information)
- Assisting the AO or RO, as necessary

3.5.4 Assessing Official (AO)

The AO is responsible for contracting or overall program execution and is responsible for preparing (see [Attachment 3](#) for instructions on preparing report), reviewing, signing, and processing the CPAR. The AO must be a Government employee. *Normal Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) and UserID/password authorization access in the CPARS and its requirement for 128-bit encryption is equivalent to signature.* The CPAR should be completed not later than 120 calendar days after the end of the contract or order performance period. AOs are encouraged to discuss contract/order performance with the contractor during the performance period and CPAR process. System duties of the AO include:

- Ensuring that the contract/order is registered in CPARS
- Ensuring that all CPARS roles are provided to the Focal Point, including AORs, Contractor Representatives, and ROs when required
- Reviewing evaluation information provided by the AORs
- Input of evaluation information
- Forwarding the Government evaluation information to the contractor (check with local policy as to whether the RO or Contracting Officer should be forwarded a copy prior to its submittal to the contractor)

¹¹ <http://www.cpars.gov/cparsfiles/pdfs/CPARSQualityChecklist.pdf>

¹² <http://www.cpars.gov/allapps/cpcbtdlf.htm>

- Reviewing comments from the designated contractor representative once the evaluation has been returned by the contractor or after 60 calendar days have lapsed
- Modifying the CPAR comments and/or ratings after review of contractor comments, as determined by the AO. After receiving and reviewing the contractor's comments on the CPAR, the AO may revise the evaluation, including the narrative. The AO will notify the contractor of any revisions made to a report as a result of the contractor's comments. Such a revised report will not be sent to the contractor for further comment. The contractor will have access to both the original and revised reports in the CPARS and PPIRS when the Government finalizes the evaluation.
- Forwarding evaluations to the RO which are in disagreement or when requested by the contractor or,
- Completing the evaluation if it does not require further RO review by closing the evaluation. At this point the evaluation is considered completed and the "Pending" marking is removed in PPIRS-RC.

Other best practices include:

- Take the applicable CPARS module web-based training to include the Quality and Narrative Writing web-based training
- Ensuring performance input from program management, technical, functional, quality assurance, contracting and other end users of the product or service is included in the evaluation
- Ensuring frequent, meaningful communication and feedback with the contractor throughout the performance period
- **Quality review of the entire evaluation**
- Coordinating the CPAR with the Contracting Office or Officer when the AO is not the Contracting Officer.
- Use all means available, to include the contract file, to assist in documenting and evaluating performance for periods during which the AO was not a participant in the contract performance

3.5.5 Designated Contractor Representative

The contractor should designate representatives to whom the evaluations will be sent automatically and electronically. The name, title, e-mail address and phone number of the designated contractor representative shall be obtained by the AO who will, in turn, provide that information to the CPARS Focal Point for authorization access. Any changes in designated contractor personnel shall be the sole responsibility of the contractor to inform the AO and the CPARS Focal Point. The designated contractor representative has the authority to:

- Receive the Government evaluation from the AO
- Review/comment/return the evaluation to the AO within 60 calendar days. If the contractor desires a meeting to discuss the CPAR, it must be requested, in writing, no later than seven calendar days from the receipt of the CPAR. This meeting will be held during the contractor's 60-calendar day review period

- Request RO review
- Note: The evaluation will become available in PPIRS-RC 15 days following the AO's evaluation signature date. The evaluation will be available in PPIRS-RC with or without contractor comments and whether or not it has been closed by the AO or RO. If no contractor comments have been provided at day 15, the evaluation will be marked as "Pending" in PPIRS-RC. Contractor comments may be provided up to 60 days following the AO evaluation signature date, and will be updated to PPIRS-RC on a daily basis. Once the evaluation has been closed by the AO or RO, the "Pending" marking will be removed in PPIRS-RC.

3.5.6 Reviewing Official (RO)

The RO ([Table 1](#) "Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official") provides the check-and-balance when there is disagreement between the AO and the contractor. The RO must review and sign the evaluation when the contractor indicates non-concurrence with the CPAR. The RO must be a Government employee. System duties of the RO include:

- Provide narrative comment (the RO's comments supplement those provided by the AO; they do not replace the ratings/narratives provided by the AO)
- Sign the CPAR (at this point, the evaluation is considered completed and is posted in the CPARS; the "Pending" marking is removed in PPIRS-RC)
- It is also a best practice to coordinate the CPAR with the Contracting Officer when the AO or RO are not the Contracting Officer.

Section D – CPARS Timeline and Workflow

4.0 Timeline and Workflow Overview

4.1 Contract Registration

Contract Registration is the entry of basic contract/order award information, such as Contractor Name, Contractor Address, Product or Service Code (PSC), Dollar Value, Award Date, etc. into CPARS. The Focal Point (FP) or Alternate Focal Point (AFP) may perform automated contract/order registration using the CPARS Auto Register function. The Auto Register Function will populate CPARS with the basic contract/order information contained in the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS). Contract/order registration may also be performed by manually entering the basic contract/order information contained in the contract file. The FP, AFP, AOR, and AO may perform manual registration. Contract/order registration should be performed within 30 days following contract/order award.

4.2 Enter Proposed Ratings and Narratives

Once the contract's/order's period of performance has been completed, the AOR or AO enters the ratings and narratives to reflect the contractor's performance during the reporting period. If there is more than one AOR, the AORs should coordinate to ensure that all proposed ratings and narratives have been entered prior to submitting the proposed evaluation to the AO. The AO may also return a proposed evaluation to the AOR for revisions if desired.

4.3 Validate Ratings and Narratives

The AO reviews the proposed ratings and narratives to ensure ratings are consistent with the definitions in [Attachment 2](#) and narratives are detailed, comprehensive, complete, accurate, and supported by objective evidence wherever possible. The AO signs the evaluation and sends it to the Contractor Representative (CR).

4.4 Contractor Comments

The CR has the option to provide comments on the evaluation, indicate if they concur or do not concur with the evaluation, sign, and then return the evaluation to the AO. The CR has a total of 60 days following the AO's evaluation signature date to send comments. If the CR sends comments within the first 14 days following the AO's signature date and the AO or RO closes the evaluation, the evaluation will become available in PPIRS-RC within 1 day. On day 15 following the AO's evaluation signature date, the evaluation will become available in PPIRS-RC with or without CR comments and whether or not it has been closed by the AO or RO. If no CR comments have been sent and the evaluation has not been closed, it will be marked as "Pending" in PPIRS-RC. If the CR sends comments at any time prior to 61 days following the AO's evaluation signature date, those comments will be reflected in PPIRS-RC within 1 day. On day 61 following the AO's evaluation signature date, the CR will be "locked out" of the evaluation and may no longer send comments.

4.5 Review Contractor Comments/Close

On day 61 following the AO's evaluation signature date, the evaluation is returned to the AO and the CR may no longer send comments. If the CR either concurred with the evaluation or did not send comments, the AO may either:

- Close the evaluation
- Modify and close the evaluation
- Send the evaluation to the RO
- Modify and send the evaluation to the RO

If the AO closes the evaluation or modifies and closes the evaluation, the evaluation will be updated in PPIRS-RC within 1 day and the "Pending" marking will be removed. If the AO sends the evaluation to the RO or modifies the evaluation and sends it to the RO, the evaluation will be updated in PPIRS-RC within 1 day and will retain the "Pending"

marking.

If the CR did not concur with the evaluation, the AO may either:

- Send the evaluation to the RO
- Modify and send the evaluation to the RO

In both cases, the evaluation will be updated in PPIRS-RC within 1 day and will retain the “Pending” marking. In the event that an evaluation is modified, both the AO’s original ratings and narratives and the AO’s modified ratings and narratives will remain a part of the evaluation record in PPIRS-RC.


4.6 Reviewing Official Comments/Close


RO comments and signature are required whenever the CR indicates that they do not concur with the AO’s evaluation and when the AO has sent the evaluation to the RO for closure. The RO should provide comments, sign, and close the evaluation. When the evaluation is closed by the RO, it will be updated in PPIRS-RC within 1 day and the “Pending” marking will be removed. The RO also has the option to return the evaluation to the AO for additional changes if desired. In such a case, the AO should make changes as necessary, re-send the evaluation to the RO, and the RO should provide comments, sign, and close the evaluation.

4.7 Evaluation Timeframe

The entire CPARS evaluation process must be completed within 120 days following the end of the period of performance. This timeframe includes the CR’s 60 day comment period. Agencies are required to report information into CPARS in a timely manner. If the CPARS process is not completed within the 120 days, your agency will not be compliant, and this will impact your CPARS and ultimately your PPIRS metrics.

4.8 CPARS Timeline





4.9 CPARS Workflow

Process must be completed within 120 days after end of period of performance.




Table 1 – Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official

<u>Business Sector</u>	Dollar Threshold ¹	Reviewing Official ²
Civilian Agencies (excludes DoD):		
Systems and Non-Systems	>Simplified Acquisition Threshold	One level above the Contracting Officer, as determined by Department or Agency policy
Architect-Engineer	≥\$30,000; All Terminations for Default	One level above the Contracting Officer, as determined by Department or Agency policy
Construction	≥\$650,000; All Terminations for Default	One level above the Contracting Officer, as determined by Department or Agency policy
DoD Services and Agencies:		
Systems (includes new development and major modifications)	>\$5,000,000	One level above the PM ³
Non-Systems		
Operations Support	>\$5,000,000 ⁴	One level above the AO
Services	>\$1,000,000	One level above the AO
Information Technology	>\$1,000,000	One level above the AO
Ship Repair and Overhaul	>\$500,000	One level above the AO
Architect-Engineer	≥\$30,000; All Terminations for Default	One level above the AO
Construction	≥\$650,000; All Terminations for Default	One level above the AO
<p>¹The contract/order thresholds for CPAR collection (see FAR 42.1502) apply to the “aggregate” value of contracts/orders; that is, if a contract’s/order’s original award value were less than the applicable threshold but subsequently the contract/order was modified and the new value is greater than the threshold, then evaluations are required to be made, starting with the first anniversary that the contract’s/order’s face value exceeded the threshold. If the total contract/order value including unexercised options and orders (for IDIQ contracts, total estimated value of unexercised options and orders) is expected to exceed the collection threshold, initiate the collection process at the start of the contract/order. Buying activities may choose to collect performance evaluations for awards below these thresholds.</p> <p>²Only required when the contractor indicates non-concurrence with the CPAR or if otherwise requested by the contractor during the 60-calendar day comment period.</p> <p>³(Or equivalent individual) responsible for program, project or task/job order execution.</p> <p>⁴For contracts/orders under the reporting thresholds, buying activities should continue to accumulate contractor performance data from existing management information systems, which already capture data on timeliness of delivery and quality of product or service (an example of a performance information collection systems is the Past Performance Information Retrieval System - Statistical Reporting Module).</p>		

Section E – Frequency of Reporting for Contracts and Orders and Types of Reports

5.0 Past Performance Reporting Requirement: Compliance and Quality Information

The FAR requires agencies to report on and assess a contractor's performance at the time the work under the contract or order is completed. The FAR stipulates that past performance evaluations be conducted on contracts and orders over the simplified acquisition threshold. In addition, AOs will prepare past performance evaluations for construction contracts of \$650,000 or more and architect-engineer services contracts of \$30,000 or more. Construction and architect-engineer contracts and orders terminated for default must be documented in CPARS regardless of dollar value.

This FAR requirement supports the Government's goal of awarding contracts to contractors that deliver the best value and quality products or services in support of Government agency missions. Agencies are required to follow the FAR and seek approval from OFPP before deviating from the FAR¹³.

A CPAR includes six evaluation areas to rate the contractor's performance –

- *Quality,*
- *Schedule,*
- *Cost Control,*
- *Management,*
- *Utilization of Small Business, and*
- *Regulatory Compliance.*

In addition, up to three *Other Areas* may be assessed as deemed necessary by the AO. A quality written narrative is important, as it not only supports the rating assigned, but it also assists the source selection official in making an informed source selection and/or award decision. The narrative that supports the rating should be concise and provide sufficient supporting rationale that addresses questions about the performance that may be asked by a source selection team.

5.1 Interim Reports

5.1.1 Interim Reports: New Contracts/Orders

FAR 42.15, requires an interim CPAR for all new contracts/orders for all business sectors meeting the thresholds identified in [Table 1](#) "Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official" that have a period of performance greater than 365 calendar days. The first interim CPAR must reflect evaluation of at least the first 180 calendar days of performance under the contract/order, and may include up to the first 365 calendar days

¹³ DoD's Class Deviation – Past Performance Reporting, see <http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/policy/policyvault/USA003613-11-DPAP.pdf>

of performance. For contracts/orders with a period of performance of less than 365 calendar days, see “Final Reports” below.

5.1.2 Annual Interim Reports

Interim CPARs are also required at least every 12 months throughout the entire period of performance of the contract/order up to the final report for all contracts/orders for all business sectors. An interim CPAR is also required:

- Upon a significant change within the agency, provided that a minimum of six months of performance has occurred, such as the following:
 - Change in program or project management responsibility
 - Transfer of contract/order, BPA, or BOA order to a different contracting activity
- To make certain that reports are processed timely, an interim CPAR should be started prior to transfer of Assessing Official Representative or Assessing Official duties from one individual to another if there is six or more month’s performance to go prior to the next CPAR to ensure continuity.

5.1.3 Note on Interim Reports

An interim CPAR is limited to contractor performance occurring after the preceding CPAR. To improve efficiency in preparing the CPAR, it is recommended that the CPAR be completed together with other reviews (e.g., award fee determinations, earned value management system, major program events, program milestones and quality assurance surveillance records).

5.2 Final Report

A final CPAR should be completed upon contract/order completion or delivery of the final major end item on the contract/order. Final Reports should be prepared on all contracts/orders meeting the thresholds established in [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official” with a period of performance of less than 365 calendar days. The final CPAR does not include cumulative information but is limited to the period of contractor performance occurring after the preceding CPAR. The CPAR Focal Point should coordinate with the AO any extensions when special circumstances arise.

5.3 Addendum Reports

Addendum reports may be prepared, after the “Final” past performance evaluation, to record the contractor’s performance relative to contract/order closeout, warranty performance and other administrative requirements.

5.4 Consecutive Reports

Architect-Engineer and Construction evaluations will be retained consecutively in CPARS and PPIRS. However, PPIRS will display only the most recent evaluation for each Architect-Engineer and Construction contract/order.

5.5 Administrative Reports

In the event that there is no contract/order performance during an annual evaluation period due to circumstances such as not exercising a contract options or not placing any orders against an indefinite-delivery vehicle, it is necessary to complete an administrative report. For an administrative report, rate the Management evaluation area as “Satisfactory” and include the rationale for issuing an administrative report in the Assessing Official narrative . An administrative report must be routed through the normal CPARS workflow.

Section F – Administrative Information

6.0 Records Retention and Disposition

All hard copies and working papers generated as part of the CPARS process will be retained and disposed of in accordance with agency procedures and any applicable program security requirements. Refer to paragraph 2.6 of this guide for classified and SAP programs.

6.1 CPAR Markings and Protection

Those granted access to the CPARS are responsible for ensuring that CPARs are appropriately marked and handled. All CPAR forms, attachments and working papers must be marked “FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY/SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION – see FAR 2.101, 3.104, and 42.1503” according to Freedom of Information Act Program, FAR 3.104, and 41 USC Sect. 423. The marking requirements apply to all methods of transmission.

CPARs may also contain information that is proprietary to the contractor. Information contained on the CPAR, such as trade secrets and protected commercial or financial data obtained from the contractor in confidence, must be protected from unauthorized disclosure. **AOs and ROs should annotate on the CPAR if it contains material that is a trade secret, etc., to ensure that future readers of the evaluations in the PPIRS-RC are informed and will protect as required.** The following guidance applies to protection both internal and external to the Government:

6.1.1 Internal Government Protection

CPARs must be treated as source selection information at all times. Information contained in the CPAR must be protected in the same manner as information contained in source selection files. (See FAR 3.104 and 41 USC Sect. 423)

6.1.2 External Government Protection

Due to the sensitive nature of CPARs, disclosure of CPAR data to any contractor, including advisory and assistance contractors, other than the contractor that is the subject of the report, or other entities outside the Government, is strictly prohibited. Only the contractor that is the subject of the report will be granted access to its CPARs maintained in the CPARS by the Focal Point.

6.2 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Contractor performance information is privileged source selection information. As these evaluations may be used to support future award decisions, the completed evaluation shall not be released to other than Government personnel and the contractor whose performance is being evaluated. This information is also protected by the Privacy Act and is not releasable under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). FAR Subpart 24.2 includes guidance on the Freedom of Information Act. Performance evaluations may be withheld from public disclosure as they are procurement sensitive. Decisions regarding FOIA requests should be coordinated in accordance with agency procedures and policies.

6.3 Use of CPARS in Source Selection

CPARs provide an evaluation of ongoing performance of contractors. Each report consists of a narrative evaluation by the AOR, the AO, and the contractor's comments, if any, relative to the evaluation. The CPAR also includes the RO's acknowledged consideration and reconciliation of significant discrepancies between the AO's evaluation and the contractor's comments, if applicable. Source selection officials retrieve CPARs by using the PPIRS-Report Card (PPIRS-RC). See FAR 42.1503(g) for the applicable time frames for use of past performance information.

6.4 CPARS Data Elements

For information on the CPARS data elements, see [Attachment 3](#) of this guide, or visit <https://www.cpars.gov>.

Section G – Reports

7.0 To-Do List

7.0.1 To-Do List Access Levels

Agency Point of Contact, Focal Point, Alternate Focal Point, Assessing Official, Assessing Official Representative, Contractor Representative, Senior Contractor Representative, Reviewing Official

7.0.2 To-Do List Summary

In the case of the Assessing Official, Assessing Official Representative, Contractor Representative, and Reviewing Official, the To-Do List enables the user to see any evaluations that are awaiting their action in the workflow. In the case of the Agency Point of Contact, the To Do List enables the user to see any evaluations that are awaiting action from users within their Organization(s). In the case of the Focal Point and Alternate Focal Point, the To Do List shows any evaluations that are awaiting action from users that the Focal Point/Alternate Focal Point has created. In the case of the Senior Contractor Representative, the To Do List shows any evaluations that are awaiting action for contracts under their DUNS number.

7.1 Focal Point List

7.1.1 Focal Point List Access Levels

Department Point of Contact, Agency Point of Contact

7.1.2. Focal Point List Summary

In the case of the Department Point of Contact, the Focal Point List shows all Focal Points and Alternate Focal Points under the user's Department. In the case of the Agency Point of Contact, the Focal Point List shows all Focal Points and Alternate Focal Points under the user's Organization(s) within a specific Department. The report includes the point of contact information for each Focal Point/Alternate and the date they last accessed the system.

7.2 Auto Register Report

7.2.1 Auto Register Report Access Levels

Agency Point of Contact, Focal Point, Alternate Focal Point

7.2.2 Auto Register Report Summary

In the case of the Agency Point of Contact, the Auto Register report shows contracts that are eligible for CPARS reporting and that have not yet been registered. It also displays contracts that were removed from the Auto Register list as well as the Focal Point/Alternate Focal Point that removed them. In the case of the Focal Point and Alternate Focal Point, the Auto Register Report shows contracts that are eligible for CPARS reporting and that have not yet been registered. The report allows the user to register a contract by selecting the contract number, selecting the proper Organization that the contract belongs to, and clicking a "Register" button. The report also allows the user to remove contracts from the Auto Register List in instances where there is no intent

to report on the contract. The Auto Register Report can be run by either Contract Office Code or Contract Number.

7.3 Status Report

7.3.1 Status Report Access Levels

Department Point of Contact, Agency Point of Contact, Focal Point, Alternate Focal Point, Assessing Official, Assessing Official Representative, Contractor Representative, Senior Contractor Representative, Reviewing Official

7.3.2 Status Report Summary

The Status Report shows all evaluations in the system (excluding those that have been archived) under the user's cognizance. The report can be run by Counts or List of Contracts. The Counts option provides metrics to show how many evaluations are currently at each stage of the CPARS workflow or how many contracts/orders are Current, Due (for an evaluation), Overdue (for an evaluation), or Final. The List of Contracts option provides a list of each evaluation under the user's cognizance along with its status in the workflow process as well as the contract's status in the annual CPARS reporting cycle. The List of Contracts report also includes a list of users for each contract and an activity log of actions that occurred on each evaluation. Individual evaluations can be opened and viewed from the report. The List of Contracts can also be shown in a spreadsheet. The report for the List of Contracts option has many data elements that the user may choose to include such as Award Value, DUNS, and Due Date.

7.4 Ratings Metrics Report

7.4.1 Ratings Metrics Report Access Levels

Department Point of Contact, Agency Point of Contact, Focal Point, Alternate Focal Point, Senior Contractor Representative

7.4.2 Ratings Metrics Report Summary

The Ratings Metrics Report displays a summary of the various ratings/grades (i.e., Exceptional, Very Good, Satisfactory, Marginal, Unsatisfactory) for each assessment area on the evaluations under the user's cognizance. For instance, the report would show how many Exceptional ratings there are for the Management category, how many Satisfactory ratings there are for the Schedule category, etc. The Ratings Metrics Report may be run to include all evaluations (except those that have been archived) or for evaluations within a specific date range. In the case of the Department Point of Contact, the report displays metrics for all evaluations within the user's Department. In the case of the Agency Point of Contact, the report displays metrics for all evaluations within the user's Organization(s) under a particular Department. In the case of the Focal Point and Alternate Focal Point, the report displays metrics for all evaluations for which the Focal Point/Alternate has assigned access. In the case of the Senior Contractor Representative,

the report displays metrics for all evaluations under the user's DUNS.

7.4.3 Ratings Metrics Report and Legacy Records

The Ratings Metrics Report reflects records generated in CPARS version 4.0.0 and later versions only (i.e., those records generated following the consolidation of the ACASS and CCASS modules into the overall CPARS AIS). CPARS version 4.0.0 was released on 07/01/2014.

7.5 Processing Times Report

7.5.1 Processing Times Report Access Levels

Department Point of Contact, Agency Point of Contact, Focal Point, Alternate Focal Point

7.5.2 Processing Times Report Summary

The Processing Times Report displays a summary of the time it took to complete evaluations which were finished within the past year. The report is broken down by month and shows the number of evaluations that were completed during that month, as well as how many took less than 120 days to complete and how many took more than 120 days to complete. The report shows the average number of days it took to complete an evaluation during the month, as well as the average number of days it took to complete individual steps in the workflow. The Processing Times Report may be further broken down to show the month's metrics by Contracting Activity, Organization, and Focal Point. In the case of the Department Point of Contact, the report shows metrics for all evaluations under the user's Department. In the case of the Agency Point of Contact, the report shows metrics for all evaluations under the user's Organization(s) within a Department. In the case of the Focal Point and the Alternate Focal Point, the report shows metrics for all evaluations to which the user has authorized access.

7.5.3 Processing Times Report and Legacy Records

The Processing Times Report reflects records generated in CPARS version 4.0.0 and later versions only (i.e., those records generated following the consolidation of the ACASS and CCASS modules into the overall CPARS AIS). CPARS version 4.0.0 was released on 07/01/2014.

7.6 Evaluation Metrics Report

7.6.1 Evaluation Metrics Report Access Levels

Department Point of Contact, Agency Point of Contact, Focal Point, Alternate Focal Point, CPARS Configuration Control Board (CCB) Distribution List (Email Distribution)

7.6.2 Evaluation Metrics Report Summary

The CPARS Evaluation Metrics Report may be run in the CPARS AIS by the Department Point of Contact, Agency Point of Contact, Focal Point, and Alternate Focal Point. The report in the CPARS AIS lists, in real time, the number of contracts registered, CPARs in process, completed CPARs, and total in process and completed CPARs under the user's cognizance. The report is broken down by Organization. The email distribution of the report to the CCB lists this information for all Agencies/DoD Services and is provided on a monthly basis.

7.7 Total Users by Agency

7.7.1 Total Users by Agency Access Levels

CCB Distribution List (Email Distribution)

7.7.2 Total Users by Agency Summary

This report lists the number of users in CPARS broken down by Federal vs DoD to the Agency Level.

7.8 CPARS Consolidated Monthly Metrics

7.8.1 CPARS Consolidated Monthly Metrics Access Levels

CCB Distribution List (Email Distribution)

7.8.2 CPARS Consolidated Monthly Metrics Summary

This report lists the number of Government users, Contractor users, registered contracts, total report cards, total completed report cards, percentage of overdue reports, percentage of system availability, average help desk resolution time, number of calls to help desk, percentage of system calls to help desk, frequency in types of calls to help desk, in CPARS broken down by Agency and Service.

7.9 PPIRS-RC Compliance Metric Report

Agencies are required to report past performance information on awards (contracts and orders) above the simplified acquisition threshold (SAT) as stated in FAR subsection 42.1502. To help agencies meet this requirement, OFPP worked with the Integrated Award Environment (IAE) Change Control Board (CCB) to develop a compliance tracking tool for measuring and managing agency reporting efforts. The report in the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) Report Card (RC) is called the Compliance Metric Report. The Compliance Metric Report calculates compliance by comparing awards (contracts and orders) above the SAT that require an evaluation to actual evaluations that have been completed and submitted to PPIRS-RC. The report does

not include any evaluations completed on actions below the SAT. The report provides agencies a percentage that represents an agencies' compliance with the reporting requirements stated in FAR subsection 42.1502. Note that this report does not include in-process evaluations currently only housed within CPARS. A comprehensive guide on how the compliance metrics are calculated and how agencies can improve their compliance can be found at <https://ppirs.ppirs.gov/ppirs/help/compliancereports.html> and http://www.cpars.gov/cparsfiles/pdfs/Improving_compliance.pdf .

Section H – References

- 8.0 [Office of Management and Budget Memorandum dated July 29, 2009, “Improving the Use of Contractor Performance Information”](#)¹⁴
- 8.1 [Office of Management and Budget Memorandum dated January 21, 2011, “Improving Contractor Past Performance Assessments: Summary of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy’s Review, and Strategies for Improvement”](#)¹⁵
- 8.2 [Office of Management and Budget Memorandum dated March 6, 2013 “Improving the Collection and Use of Information about Contractor Performance and Integrity”](#)¹⁶

¹⁴ http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/procurement/improving_use_of_contractor_perf_info.pdf

¹⁵ http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/procurement/contract_perf/PastPerformanceMemo-21-Jan-2011.pdf

¹⁶ <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/procurement/memo/improving-the-collection-and-use-of-information-about-contractor-performance-and-integrity.pdf>

Key Business Sectors Applicable to All CPARs

Systems

Generally, this sector includes products that require a significant amount of new engineering development work. and includes major modification/upgrade efforts for existing systems, as well as acquisition of new systems, such as aircraft, ships, etc. More specifically-

Aircraft: Includes fixed and rotary wing aircraft, and their subsystems (propulsion, electronics, communications, ordnance, etc.). Examples include Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures and Apache Attack Helicopters.

Ground Vehicles: Includes all tracked combat vehicles (e.g., tanks and armored personnel carriers), wheeled vehicles (e.g., trucks, trailers, specialty vehicles), and construction and material handling equipment requiring significant new engineering development. Examples include the Abrams Tank and the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Marine All-Terrain Vehicle (M-ATV). Does not include commercial equipment typically acquired from existing multiple award “schedule” contracts (e.g., staff cars, base fire trucks, etc.)

Ordnance: Includes all artillery systems (except non-Precision Guided Munitions (PGM) projectiles), tactical missiles (air-to-air, air-to-ground, surface-to-air, and surface-to-surface) and their associated launchers, and all PGM weapons and submunitions, such as the Joint Direct Attack Missile, the Sensor-Fused Weapon, the “Brilliant Antitank” weapon, the Cruise Missile, and the Howitzer.

Other Systems: Includes technologies and products that, when incorporated into other systems such as aircraft and ships, are often categorized as subsystems. However, many of these products are often acquired as systems in their own right, either as “stand-alone” acquisitions or as the object major modification/upgrade efforts for ships, aircraft, etc. Examples of other systems include Command, Control, Communication, Computer and Intelligence (C4I) systems, airborne and shipborne tactical computer systems, electrical power and hydraulic systems, radar and sonar systems, fire control systems, electronic warfare systems, and propulsion systems (turbine engines—aviation and maritime, diesel engine power installations - maritime and combat vehicle), mobile air traffic control tower support, design and manufacture of the Munitions Assembly Conveyor, night vision goggles, and base radio systems. Does not include tactical voice radios with commercial equivalents, personal Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers, non-voice communication systems with commercial equivalents (See Operations Support and Information Technology sectors).

Science and Technology - Systems: Includes demonstration, validation, engineering and manufacturing development, and operational system development for all disciplines including agriculture, crime prevention and control, fire prevention and control, rural services, urban services, community services, aircraft, missile and space systems, ships, tanks, weapons, electronics and communications, ammunition, subsistence, textiles, clothing, equipage, fuels and

lubricants, employment growth and productivity, product or service improvement, manufacturing technology, economic growth and productivity, education, energy, conservation of energy, pollution control and abatement, environmental protection, physical sciences, math and computer sciences, environmental sciences, engineering sciences, life sciences, psychological sciences, social sciences, housing, employment, income maintenance and security, international affairs and cooperation, biomedical, dependency, health services, mental health, rehabilitative engineering, medical research, natural resources, marine fisheries, social services, aeronautics, space, transportation, and mining. Note: Does not include DoD programs. DoD efforts for demonstration, validation, engineering and manufacturing development and operational system development are included under the non-Science and Technology Systems business subsectors above (e.g. aircraft, shipbuilding, space, etc.)

Shipbuilding: Includes ship design and construction, ship conversion, small craft (e.g., rigid inflatable boats) and associated contractor-furnished equipment, as well as ship overhaul and repair.

Space: Includes all satellites (communications, early warning, etc.), all launch vehicles, strategic ballistic missiles, and all associated subsystems, including guidance and control.

Training Systems: Generally, includes computer-based (or embedded) virtual and synthetic environments and systems of moderate to high complexity capable of providing training for air, sea, and land-based weapons, platforms, and support systems readiness such as the KC-135 Aircrew Training System, the Multi-band Threat Simulator (MTS) system, the Blackhawk Operations Simulator, and the Digital Range Training System. Does not include operation and maintenance support services beyond the scope of the initial training system acquisition, or basic and applied research in these areas.

Non-Systems

The Non-Systems business sector includes Services, Information Technology, Operations Support, and Science and Technology – Non-Systems.

Services

Generally, this sector includes all contracted services except those which are an integral part of a systems contract/order or related to “Science & Technology,” “Construction,” “Architect--Engineering Services,” and “Information Technology”. Services are further defined below:

Facilities Services: Includes services for grounds maintenance (grass cutting, shrubbery maintenance or replacement, etc.); janitorial services; painting, and making minor repairs to buildings and utilities services, etc.; contracted security and guard services; installation and maintenance of fencing; minor electrical repairs (e.g., replacing outlets, changing light bulbs, etc.), minor road surface repairs (patching cracks, filling in potholes, etc.), relocation of individual telephone lines and connections, snow removal, elevator inspection and maintenance,

fire alarm system preventative maintenance and repair, facilities maintenance and management, utilities services. (See Construction for the installation services covered by that sector.)

Professional/Technical & Management Support Services: Includes all consultant and advisory services - those related to scientific, health care services, and technical matters (e.g., engineering, computer software engineering and development), as well as those related to organizational structure, human relations, etc. Includes office administrative support services (e.g., operation of duplication centers, temporary secretarial support, etc.). Includes Integrated Hardware/Software/Services Solutions, Help Desk, and business continuity services.

Repair & Overhaul: Services related to the physical repair and overhaul of aircraft, ground vehicles, etc., and any associated subsystems or components. Includes condition evaluations of individual items received for repair or overhaul, but does not include evaluations of the feasibility or the benefits of the overall project. Ship Repair and Overhaul under the Shipbuilding sector is excluded.

Transportation and Transportation-Related Services: Includes services related to transportation by all the land, water, and air routes, and transportation efforts, which support movement of personnel and their supplies including during peacetime training, conflict, war, contingency actions, or humanitarian support. Consists of those military, other Federal and commercial efforts, services and systems organic to, contracted for, or controlled by the Government. Includes relocation related efforts for agencies, travel arrangement and reservation services, domestic delivery services for letters and packages, employee relocation.

Information Technology

This sector includes any equipment or interconnected system or subsystem of equipment that is used in the automatic acquisition, storage, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching, interchange, transmission or reception of data or information. Generally, includes all computers, ancillary equipment, software, firmware and similar procedures, services (including support services), networking, and related resources. This excludes any military-unique C4I systems and components included under Systems, such as Joint Tactical Information and Distribution System, Aegis, etc. More specifically-

Hardware: Physical equipment as opposed to programs, procedures, rules and associated documentation. In automation, the physical equipment or devices form a computer and peripheral components, tablets, iPads, servers, laptops. Includes annual hardware maintenance service plans.

Software: A set of computer programs, procedures, cyber capabilities, and associated documentation concerned with the operations of a data processing system; e.g., compilers, library routines, manuals and circuit diagrams. This also includes information that may provide instructions for computers; data for documentation; and voice, video, and music for entertainment and education. Includes annual software maintenance service plans.

Telecommunications Equipment or Services: Circuits or equipment used to support the electromagnetic and/or optical dissemination, transmission, or reception of information via voice, data, video, integrated telecommunications transmission, wire, or radio. The equipment or service must be a complete component capable of standing alone. This includes the following type of items; telephones, multiplexers, a telephone switching system, circuit termination equipment, radio transmitter or receiver, a modem, card cage with the number and type of modem cards installed, etc. This does not include the following type of items: a chip, circuit card, equipment rack, power cord, a microphone, headset, etc. Includes Internet access, cell phones, and cell phone service.

Operations Support

Generally, this sector includes spares and repair parts for existing systems. Also includes products that require a lesser amount of engineering development work than “Systems,” or that can be acquired “build-to-print,” “non-developmental,” or commercial off the shelf. More specifically-

Ammunition: Includes all small arms ammunition and non-Precision Guided Munitions artillery rounds.

Electrical: Includes electric motors, thermal batteries, auxiliary power units, and associated spares and component parts.

Electronics: Includes parts and components related to digitization, guidance and control, communications, and electro-optical and optical systems. Includes individual resistors, capacitors, circuit cards, etc., as well as “modules” such as radio-frequency receivers and transmitters. Includes tactical voice radios, personal Global Positioning System receivers, etc.

Facilities Equipment and Supplies: Includes all consumables and personal property items needed to maintain facilities, bases, ports, etc. Includes small tools and cleaning and preservation equipment and supplies (paints, brushes, cleaning solvents, laboratory chemicals, etc.). Includes furniture and furnishings. Includes office supplies. Does not include any grounds maintenance, construction, security, or other types of services.

Fuels: Includes all bulk fuels, lubricants, and natural gas, coal, storage, and other commodities and related support services.

Mechanical: Includes transmissions (automotive and aviation), landing gear, bearings, and parts/components related to various engines (turbine wheels, impellers, fuel management and injection systems, etc.)

Personnel Support: Includes all food and subsistence items. Includes all clothing and textile-related items, including uniforms, tentage, personal protective gear, life preservation devices, etc.

Includes all medical supplies and equipment, including medicines and diagnostic equipment (X-ray machines, etc.). Includes recreational or morale/welfare items and supplies.

Structural: Includes forgings; castings; armor (depleted uranium, ceramic, and steel alloys); and steel, aluminum, and composite structural components. Does not include “bare” airframes, ships, or combat vehicles (i.e., without engines and electronics).

Vehicles and Other Related Equipment: Includes fire trucks, automobiles, trucks, ambulances, water craft, aircraft, buses, wreckers, carriers, tankers, trailers, etc. that are commercially or non-developmentally available.

Science and Technology – Non-Systems

Science and Technology – Non-Systems: Includes basic research, applied research, and advanced technology development for all disciplines including agriculture, crime prevention and control, fire prevention and control, rural services, urban services, community services, aircraft, missile and space systems, ships, tanks, weapons, electronics and communications, ammunition, subsistence, textiles, clothing, equipage, fuels and lubricants, employment growth and productivity, product or service improvement, manufacturing technology, economic growth and productivity, education, energy, conservation of energy, pollution control and abatement, environmental protection, physical sciences, math and computer sciences, environmental sciences, engineering sciences, life sciences, psychological sciences, social sciences, housing, employment, income maintenance and security, international affairs and cooperation, biomedical, dependency, health services, mental health, rehabilitative engineering, medical research, natural resources, marine fisheries, social services, aeronautics, space, transportation, and mining.

Note: Does include DoD projects funded by program budget accounts 6.1 (Basic Research), 6.2 (Applied Research), and 6.3 (Advanced Technology Development). Contracting officers are encouraged to manually register and complete assessment reports on science and technology contracts and delivery/task orders under budget accounts 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3 over \$1,000,000, consistent with the threshold for services, although completion of past performance evaluations is not mandatory for these types of contracts.

Use of Science and Technology PPI in Source Selections – Non-Systems PPI must be limited to relevant information as determined by the Source Selection team. Requests for PPI must be tailored to each procurement during the source selection process, with emphasis placed on the expertise of key personnel. See Class Deviation 2013-O0018, dated 24 September 2014 which can be found at http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/class_deviations.html.

Architect-Engineer

Architect-Engineer services include (1) Professional services of an architectural or engineering nature, as defined by State law, if applicable, that are required to be performed or approved by a person licensed, registered, or certified to provide those services; (2) Professional services of an architectural or engineering nature performed by contract that are associated with research, planning, development, design, construction, alteration, or repair of real property; and (3) Those other professional services of an architectural or engineering nature, or incidental services, that members of the architectural and engineering professions (and individuals in their employ) may logically or justifiably perform, including studies, investigations, surveying and mapping, tests, evaluations, consultations, comprehensive planning, program management, conceptual designs, plans and specifications, value engineering, construction phase services, soils engineering, drawing reviews, preparation of operating and maintenance manuals, and other related services.

Construction

“Construction” means construction, alteration, or repair (including dredging, excavating, and painting) of buildings, structures, or other real property. For purposes of this definition, the terms “buildings, structures, or other real property” include, but are not limited to, improvements of all types, such as bridges, dams, plants, highways, parkways, streets, subways, tunnels, sewers, mains, power lines, cemeteries, pumping stations, railways, airport facilities, terminals, docks, piers, wharves, ways, lighthouses, buoys, jetties, breakwaters, levees, canals, and channels. Construction does not include the manufacture, production, furnishing, construction, alteration, repair, processing, or assembling of vessels, aircraft, or other kinds of personal property.

Evaluation Ratings Definitions¹⁷

Rating	Definition	Note
Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.	To justify an Exceptional rating, identify multiple significant events and state how they were of benefit to the Government. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective.	To justify a Very Good rating, identify a significant event and state how it was a benefit to the Government. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
Satisfactory	Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.	To justify a Satisfactory rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract/order. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. A fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be evaluated with a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract/order.
Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	To justify Marginal performance, identify a significant event in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the Government. A Marginal rating should be supported by referencing the management tool that notified the contractor of the contractual deficiency (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency report or letter).
Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	To justify an Unsatisfactory rating, identify multiple significant events in each category that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted the Government. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. An Unsatisfactory rating should be supported by referencing the management tools used to notify the contractor of the contractual deficiencies (e.g., management, quality, safety, or environmental deficiency reports, or letters).

NOTE 1: N/A (not applicable) should be used if the ratings are not going to be applied to a particular area for evaluation.

¹⁷ Reference FAR 42.1503, Table 42-1

Evaluation Ratings Definitions¹⁸ (For the Small Business Evaluation Factor, when 52.219-9 is used)

Rating	Definition	Note
Exceptional	Exceeded all statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had exceptional success with initiatives to assist, promote, and utilize small business (SB), small disadvantaged business (SDB), women-owned small business (WOSB), HUBZone small business, veteran-owned small business (VOSB) and service disabled veteran owned small business (SDVOSB). Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Went above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan and other small business requirements of the contract/order. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner.	To justify an Exceptional rating, identify multiple significant events and state how they were a benefit to small business utilization. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it constitutes an Exceptional rating. Small businesses should be given meaningful and innovative work directly related to the contract, and opportunities should not be limited to indirect work such as cleaning offices, supplies, landscaping, etc. Also, there should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
Very Good	Met all of the statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had significant success with initiatives to assist, promote and utilize SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone, VOSB, and SDVOSB. Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Met or exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Endeavored to go above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner.	To justify a Very Good rating, identify a significant event and state how they were a benefit to small business utilization. Small businesses should be given meaningful and innovative opportunities to participate as subcontractors for work directly related to the contract, and opportunities should not be limited to indirect work such as cleaning offices, supplies, landscaping, etc. There should be no significant weaknesses identified.
Satisfactory	Demonstrated a good faith effort to meet all of the negotiated subcontracting goals in the various socio-economic categories for the current period. Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Met any other small business participation requirements included in the contract/order. Fulfilled the requirements of the subcontracting plan included in the contract/order. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary	To justify a Satisfactory rating, there should have been only minor problems, or major problems the contractor has addressed or taken corrective action. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified. A fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract/order.

¹⁸ Reference FAR 42.1503 Table 42-2

	Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner.	
Marginal	Deficient in meeting key subcontracting plan elements. Deficient in complying with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns, and any other small business participation requirements in the contract/order. Did not submit Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate or timely manner. Failed to satisfy one or more requirements of a corrective action plan currently in place; however, does show an interest in bringing performance to a satisfactory level and has demonstrated a commitment to apply the necessary resources to do so. Required a corrective action plan.	To justify Marginal performance, identify a significant event that the contractor had trouble overcoming and how it impacted small business utilization. A Marginal rating should be supported by referencing the actions taken by the government that notified the contractor of the contractual deficiency.
Unsatisfactory	Noncompliant with FAR 52.219-8 and 52.219-9, and any other small business participation requirements in the contract/order. Did not submit Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate or timely manner. Showed little interest in bringing performance to a satisfactory level or is generally uncooperative. Required a corrective action plan.	To justify an Unsatisfactory rating, identify multiple significant events that the contractor had trouble overcoming and state how it impacted small business utilization. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an Unsatisfactory rating. An Unsatisfactory rating should be supported by referencing the actions taken by the government to notify the contractor of the deficiencies. When an Unsatisfactory rating is justified, the contracting officer must consider whether the contractor made a good faith effort to comply with the requirements of the subcontracting plan required by FAR 52.219-9 and follow the procedures outlined in FAR 52.219-16, Liquidated Damages-Subcontracting Plan.

NOTE 1: Generally, zero percent is not a goal unless the Contracting Officer determined when negotiating the subcontracting plan that no subcontracting opportunities exist in a particular socio-economic category. In such cases, the contractor shall be considered to have met the goal for any socio-economic category where the goal negotiated in the plan was zero.

Instructions for Completing a CPAR

A3.1 Name/Address of Contractor. State the name and address of the division or subsidiary of the contractor that is performing the contract/order. Identify the parent corporation (no address required). Identify the DUNS+4 number, Product or Service Code (PSC), and North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) code. All codes can be accessed by using the on-screen “lookup” function provided.

A3.2 Evaluation Type. Indicate whether the CPAR is an interim, final, or addendum report. If this is a report to record contractor performance relative to contract/order closeout or other administrative requirements, select “Addendum.”

A3.3 Contract Percent Complete. Enter the percent of the contract/order that has been completed at the time the evaluation is being done. Use data from any Earned Value Management Systems, progress reports, schedule, and payment information as applicable to determine the percent complete.

A3.4 Period of Performance Being Assessed. State the period of performance covered by the report (dates must be in MM/DD/YYYY format). The initial period of performance should not cover less than six months of actual performance. Note. The dates for the period of performance being assessed should be consistent with the dates on the FPDS contract action report.

A3.4.1 Period of Performance for Delayed Starts, Protests or Phase-In Periods. In the case of delayed starts or protests, the initial period of performance may cover more than twelve months of time since contract/order award, but normally no more than twelve months of actual contract/order performance. Initial periods reporting on performance greater than 12 months (such as for phase-in periods) must be approved by the Contracting Officer and entered in CPAR by the AOR or AO and coordinated with the contractor.

A3.4.2 Period of Performance for Interim/Final Reports. CPAR evaluations for interim and final reports should cover a 12 month period of performance. Exceptions to this rule for special circumstances, such as a period of performance that ends one month before contract/order completion or in those instances (up to six months beyond the annual period) where the performance has been extended must be approved by the Contracting Officer and entered in the CPAR by the AOR or AO.

A3.5 Contract Number. Use the contract number as identified on the contract, except in the case of BOAs, BPAs, GSA or US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) schedules, GWACs/MACs and other agency orders. If an order/call is issued under a BOA, BPA, GSA or VA schedule, GWAC/MAC or other agency contract/agreement, the contract number in CPARS should match the master contract number. The order number field should be used to reflect the contract/schedule/agreement number for the order/call. For orders issued against BPAs placed against Federal Supply Schedules, the Contract

Number should be the BPA number and the Order Number should be number of the individual order/call.

A3.6 Business Sector and Sub-Sector. Identify the Business Sector as Systems, Non-Systems, Architect-Engineer, or Construction. For Systems and Non-Systems contract/orders, identify the appropriate Sub-Sector. The Systems sub-sectors are: Aircraft, Shipbuilding, Space, Ordnance, Ground Vehicles, Training Systems, Other Systems and Science and Technology - Systems. The Non-Systems sub-sectors are: Professional/Technical and Management Support Services, Repair and Overhaul (excludes ship repair and overhaul), Facilities Services, Transportation and Transportation Related Services, Software, Hardware, Telecommunications Equipment or Services, Mechanical, Structural, Electronics, Electrical, Ammunition, Personnel Support, Facilities Equipment and Supplies, Fuels, Vehicles and Other Related Equipment, and Science and Technology – Non-Systems.

A3.7 Contracting Office (Organization). Identify the contracting office.

A3.8 Location of Work. Provide a geographical reference for the principal place of performance if performance is not at the contractor's location or at a government owned, contractor operated facility, including city, state, and zip code.

A3.9 Contracting Officer. Self-explanatory.

A3.9.1 Phone Number. Include the commercial phone number in the following format: (XXX)XXX-XXXX.

A3.10 Award Date. Identify the date (MM/DD/YYYY) of contract/order award or select the date on the on-screen, pop-up calendar.

A3.10.1 Effective Date. Identify the date (MM/DD/YYYY) that actual contract/order performance is set to begin or select the on-screen calendar date only if that date is later than the Award Date.

A3.11 Completion Date. Identify the last possible date (MM/DD/YYYY) of contract/order performance (e.g., the last calendar day of the last option period) or select the date on the on-screen, pop-up calendar.

A3.12 Actual Completion Date. Identify the date that the work was completed and accepted by the Government. If the contract/order was terminated, enter the date that the termination became effective.

A3.13 Total Dollar Value. Enter the total value of the contract/order, including unexercised options. For delivery/task/job order contracts (IDIQs) where orders will be assessed under a single CPAR, enter the maximum ordering amount under the contract, including options. For delivery/task/job order contracts (IDIQs) where orders will be assessed on an individual basis, enter the awarded value of the individual order. For BOAs and BPAs, enter the awarded value of the individual order.

A3.14 Current Contract Dollar Value. State the current obligated amount including modifications and options that have been exercised. For incentive contracts/orders, state the target price or total estimated amount. For delivery/task/job order contracts (IDIQs) where orders will be assessed under a single CPAR, state the total amount obligated on all delivery orders, including modifications. For delivery/task/job order contracts (IDIQs) where orders will be assessed on an individual basis, state the current obligated amount of the individual order, including modifications. For BOAs and BPAs, state the current obligated amount of the individual order, including modifications.

A3.15 Complexity. Describe contract/order technical complexity in accordance with the following definitions:

Low - The contract requires mature, proven technology or services of a non-complex nature, such as the production of simple items or performance of simple operations. Contract requirements are simple and efforts are routine; highly skilled labor is not required in order to meet contract requirements. The contract may be for a follow-on, repetitive type, or commercial acquisition. Contract requirements can be accomplished with a low degree of management effort and routine services may be performed with minimal supervision. Examples include commercial-off-the-shelf supplies or parts such as transistors and commercial services such as grounds keeping.

Medium - The contract requires mature, proven technology or services of a moderately complex nature. While the technology may be moderately complex and the services require skilled labor, no new technology is being developed and the technology is being used for proven applications only; no new applications of the technology are being performed. Contract specifications have moderate tolerances and may have a routine delivery schedule. A moderate degree of management oversight is required to ensure accomplishment of contract requirements. Examples include night vision goggles, design and construction services for routine repairs and alterations to real property, and financial support services.

High - The contract requires new technology or services, or a new application of existing technology or services, with a high degree of technical uncertainty. Performance requires state of the art machinery or highly skilled personnel. Contract specifications include stringent tolerance limits and services must be performed to exacting standards. The contract may have an accelerated delivery schedule. A high degree of management effort is required to ensure accomplishment of contract requirements. Examples include development of new aircraft or weapons systems.

A3.16 Termination Type. Indicate one of the following to describe if the contract/order has been terminated: None, Default, Convenience, or Cause.

A3.17 Competition Type. Identify the extent to which the award was competed by selecting from the following options. If the CPAR is for a single order/call, select the basis of award for that order/call.

- Competed under SAP - Select this option when the action is competed under the Simplified Acquisition Threshold.
- Competitive Delivery Order – (Apply to Full and Open Competition pursuant to FAR 6.1 and only apply to Delivery Orders) Report this option if the IDV Type is a Federal Schedule. Report this option when the Order delivery/task order award was made pursuant to a process that permitted each contract awardee a fair opportunity to be considered. See FAR Part 16.505(b)(1). Report this option if the action is for the award of a multiple award schedule or an order against a multiple award schedule pursuant to FAR 6.102(d)(3) and the applicable provisions referenced there under.
- Follow On to Competed Action - Select this code when the action is a follow on to an existing competed contract. FAR 6.302-1.
- Full and Open Competition - Report this code if the action resulted from an award pursuant to FAR 6.102(a) - sealed bid, FAR 6.102(b) – competitive proposal, FAR 6.102(c) - Combination, or any other competitive method that did not exclude sources of any type.
- Full and Open Competition after Exclusion of Sources - Select this option when some sources are excluded before competition.
- Non-Competitive Delivery Order - Report this code when competitive procedures are not used in awarding the delivery order for a reason not included above (when the action was non-competitive).
- Not Available for Competition - Select this option when the contract is not available for competition
- Not Competed - Select this option when the contract is not competed.
- Not Competed under SAP - Select this code when the action is NOT competed under the Simplified Acquisition Threshold

A3.18 Contract Type. Identify the contract/order type by selecting from the following options. For mixed contract/order types, select the predominant contract/order type based on the aggregate of all Contract Line Item Numbers.

- Combination - Applies to awards where two or more of the below apply.
- Cost Plus Award Fee – A cost-plus-award-fee contract is a cost-reimbursement contract that provides for a fee consisting of (1) a base amount fixed at inception of the contract, if applicable and at the discretion of the contracting officer, and (2) an award amount that the contractor may earn in whole or in part during performance and that is sufficient to provide motivation for excellence in the areas of cost, schedule, and technical performance.

- Cost Plus Fixed Fee – A cost-plus-fixed-fee contract is a cost-reimbursement contract that provides for payment to the contractor of a negotiated fee that is fixed at the inception of the contract. The fixed fee does not vary with actual cost, but may be adjusted as a result of changes in the work to be performed under the contract.
- Cost Plus Incentive Fee – A cost-plus-incentive-fee contract is a cost-reimbursement contract that provides for an initially negotiated fee to be adjusted later by a formula based on the relationship of total allowable costs to total target costs.
- Cost No Fee – A cost no-fee contract is a cost-reimbursement contract in which the contractor receives allowable incurred costs, but receives no fee.
- Cost Sharing – A cost-sharing contract is a cost-reimbursement contract in which the contractor receives no fee and is reimbursed only for an agreed-upon portion of its allowable costs.
- Firm Fixed Price – A firm-fixed-price contract provides for a price that is not subject to any adjustment on the basis of the contractor’s cost experience in performing the contract.
- Fixed Price Award Fee – A fixed price contract provides for an award fee earned (if any) that will be paid in addition to the fixed price.
- Fixed Price Incentive – A fixed-price incentive contract is a fixed-price contract that provides for adjusting profit and establishing the final contract price by a formula based on the relationship of final negotiated total cost to total target cost.
- Fixed Price Level of Effort – A firm-fixed-price, level-of-effort term contract requires the contractor to provide a specified level of effort, over a stated period of time, on work that can be stated only in general terms; and the Government to pay the contractor a fixed dollar amount.
- Fixed Price Redetermination – A fixed-price contract with prospective price redetermination may be used in acquisitions of quantity production or services for which it is possible to negotiate a fair and reasonable firm fixed price for an initial period, but not for subsequent periods of contract performance.
- Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment – A fixed-price contract with economic price adjustment provides for upward and downward revision of the stated contract price upon the occurrence of specified contingencies.
- Labor Hours – A labor-hours contract provides for acquiring supplies or services on the basis of direct labor hours at specified fixed hourly rates that include wages, overhead, general and administrative expenses, and profit. It is a variation of the time-and-materials contract, differing only in that materials are not supplied by the contractor.

- Order Dependent – For use on orders placed against indefinite delivery contracts that allow the cost or pricing arrangement to be determined on each order.

- Other – Applies to awards where none of these options apply.

- Time and Materials - A time-and-materials contract provides for acquiring supplies or services on the basis of direct labor hours at specified fixed hourly rates that include wages, overhead, general and administrative expenses, and profit; and actual cost for material.

A3.19 Key Subcontractors and Effort Performed. Identify subcontractors, including DUNS +4 number, performing either a critical aspect of the contracted effort or more than 25 percent of the dollar value of the effort. If possible, include the amount of subcontract costs of the total contract/order effort. Discussion of the prime contractor's management of the subcontractor should be included under the Management evaluation area. See [Paragraph 2.5](#) and [Paragraph 2.6](#) for awards under the Randolph-Sheppard Act and to the Canadian Commercial Corporation, respectively.

A3.20 Project Number. Enter the locally assigned Project Number, if applicable.

A3.21 Project Title. Provide a short but detailed descriptive narrative (in plain English) of the program or project that informs the reader of the program's or project's purpose and intent. A good source for this description can be found in the statement of work or statement of objectives, requirements document, the acquisition plan, etc. Spell out all abbreviations and acronyms.

A3.22 Contract Effort Description. Provide a detailed description of the contract/order effort that identifies the key requirements and/or type of effort. This section is of critical importance to future source selection officials. The description should be detailed enough so that it can be used in determining the relevance of this program or project to future source selections. It is important to address the complexity of the contract/order effort and the overall technical risk associated with accomplishing the effort. Ensure acronyms are identified. Provide a complete description of the contract/order effort that identifies key technologies, components, subsystems, and requirements. For task/delivery order contracts, state the number of orders issued during the period, the number of orders completed during the period, and the number of orders that remain active. A good source for this description can be found in the statement of work or statement of objectives, requirements document, the acquisition plan, etc. For interim CPARs, a description of key milestone events that occurred in the review period may be beneficial (e.g., Critical Design Review (CDR), Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)), as well as major contract/order modifications during the period.

For contracts/orders that include multiple functional disciplines or activities, separate them into categories to:

- (1) reflect the full scope of the contract/order, and

(2) allow grouping of similar work efforts within the categories to avoid unnecessary segregation of essentially similar specialties or activities. Each category or area should be separately numbered, titled and described within the Contract Effort Description to facilitate cross-referencing with the evaluation of the contractor's performance within each evaluation area.

A3.23 Small Business Utilization. Answer the following questions:

- Does this contract include a subcontracting plan?
- Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR)

A3.24 Variance (Contract-to-Date). If Cost Performance Report (CPR) or Cost/Schedule Status Review (C/SSR) data are available, identify the current percent cost variance to date, the Government's estimated completion cost variance (percent), and the cumulative schedule variance (percent). Indicate the cutoff date for the CPR or C/SSR used.

A3.24.1 Compute current cost variance percentage by dividing cumulative cost variance to date (column 11 of the CPR, column 6 of the C/SSR) by the Earned Value and multiply by 100.

A3.24.2 Compute Variance at Completion (VAC) cost percentage by subtracting the Budget at Completion (BAC) from the Estimate at Completion (EAC) and multiplying by 100. The calculation is $[VAC = (BAC - EAC)] \times 100$. The BAC must be the current budget base against which the contractor is performing (including formally established Over Target Baselines (OTB)). If an OTB has been established since the last CPAR, a brief description in the Assessing Official Narrative of the nature and magnitude of the baseline adjustment must be provided. Subsequent CPARs must evaluate cost performance in terms of the revised baseline and reference the CPAR that described the baseline adjustment. For example, "The contract baseline was formally adjusted on (date); see CPAR for (period covered by report) for an explanation."

A3.24.3 Compute cumulative schedule variance percentage by dividing the Earned Value less Planned Value by Planned Value and multiply by 100. The calculation is $[(\text{Earned Value} - \text{Planned Value}) / \text{Planned Value}] \times 100$. If the schedule variance exceeds 15 percent (positive or negative), briefly discuss in the Assessing Official the significance of this variance for the contract/order effort.

A3.24.4 For additional information on [Variance, see the American National Standards Institute \(ANSI\) Electronic Industries Alliance \(EIA\) Standard for Earned Value Management Systems](#) and the [Department of Defense Earned Value Management Implementation Guide](#).

A3.25 Evaluation Areas. Evaluate each area based on the following criteria:

A3.25.1. Each area evaluation must be supported by objective data (or subjective observations) that will be provided in the Assessing Official Comments. Facts to support specific areas of evaluation must be requested from the PM, Contracting Officer and other Government specialists familiar with the contractor's performance on the contract/order under review. Such specialists may, for example include the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) for the program, Senior Resident Engineer (SRE), Resident Engineer (RE), Project Manager (PM), Program Manager (PgM), and may also be from engineering, manufacturing, construction, quality, logistics (including provisioning), contracting, maintenance, security, data, etc.

A3.25.2 The amount of risk inherent in the effort should be recognized as a significant factor and taken into account when assessing the contractor's performance. When a contractor identifies significant technical risk and takes action to abate those risks, the effectiveness of these actions should be included in the detailed narrative supporting the ratings.

A3.25.3 The CPAR is designed to assess prime contractor performance. In those evaluation areas where subcontractor actions have significantly influenced the prime contractor's performance in a negative or positive way, record the subcontractor actions in the Assessing Official Comments.

A3.25.4 While the evaluation areas represent broad categories, the AO should include clear, supportable information for each area based on objective facts supported by program, quality, and other performance data tailored to the contract type, dollar value, and complexity of the requirement. The AO should present enough information in each applicable category to accurately describe the contractor's performance in a way that provides useful insight for Federal source selection officials.

A3.25.5 Evaluate all areas which pertain to the contract/order under evaluation, unless they are not applicable ("N/A").

A3.25.6 When performance has changed from one period to another such that a change in ratings results, the detailed narrative in the Assessing Official Comments must address each change.

A3.25.7 The AO should use customary industry quantitative measures where they are applicable if the contract/order is for commercial products.

A3.25.8 Ratings will be in accordance with the definitions in [Attachment 2 – "Evaluation Ratings Definitions"](#).

A3.25.9. A fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract/order.

A3.26 Quality.

A3.26.1 For Systems contracts/orders, consider the following aspects of contractor performance:

A3.26.1.1 **Product Performance.** Assess the achieved product performance relative to performance parameters required by the contract/order.

A3.26.1.2 **Systems Engineering.** Assess the contractor's effort to transform operational needs and requirements into an integrated system design solution.

A3.26.1.2.1 Areas of focus should be: the planning and control of technical program tasks, the quality and adequacy of the engineering support provided throughout all phases of contract/order execution, the integration of the engineering specialties, management of interfaces, interoperability, and the management of a totally integrated effort of all engineering concerns to meet cost, technical performance, and schedule objectives.

A3.26.1.2.2 System engineering activities ensure that integration of these engineering concerns is addressed up-front and early in the design/development process. The evaluation should cover these disciplines: systems architecture, design, manufacturing, integration and support, configuration control, documentation, test and evaluation.

A3.26.1.2.3 The evaluation for test and evaluation should consider success/problems/failure in developing test and evaluation objectives; planning (ground/air/sea) test, simulations and/or demonstrations; in accomplishing those objectives and on the timeliness of coordination and feedback of the test results (simulations/demonstrations) into the design and/or manufacturing process.

A3.26.1.2.4 Other activities include: producibility engineering, logistics support analysis, supportability considerations (maintenance personnel/skills availability or work-hour constraints, operating and cost constraints, allowable downtime, turn-around-time to service/maintain the system, standardization requirements), survivability, human factors, reliability, quality, maintainability, availability, inspectability, etc.

A3.26.1.2.5 The evaluation of systems engineering needs to remain flexible to allow the evaluator to account for program-unique technical concerns and to allow for the changing systems engineering environment as a program moves through the program phases, e.g., Engineering and Manufacturing Development, Production.

A3.26.1.3 **Software Engineering.** Assess the contractor's success in meeting contract/order requirements for all applicable software engineering based activities and processes.

A3.26.1.3.1 Software engineering activities include, as appropriate, software development (design, code, and unit test); application of reuse, COTS, and other non-developmental software components; integration (including software component integration, system integration and test, and acceptance test support); and sustainment. Software processes include, for example: software size, effort, and schedule estimation;

requirements analysis, development, and management; software configuration management; software risk identification and management; metrics collection and analysis, technical reviews, decision analysis, and software quality assurance and control, each as they specifically address software engineering activities.

A3.26.1.3.2 Consider the contractor's success with respect to:

- Planning a software development, integration, and testing effort that includes compatible cost, schedule, and performance baselines
- Delivering expected software driven capabilities on cost and on schedule
- Effective software metrics collection/analysis and status monitoring/reporting that provide the software visibility necessary to identify timely corrective actions and appropriately execute them
- Staffing with the software knowledge, skills, and abilities needed to execute the contract/order across the lifecycle; timely assignment of the appropriate numbers of software staff
- Awareness and control of software size and stability to enable tracking and allowing growth according to vetted enhancements vice scope creep
- Effective testing and integration of developed software within the larger system test and evaluation effort
- Effective processes to acquire, integrate, and test commercial and/or government off-the-shelf (COTS/GOTS) software and to achieve planned software reuse
- Achieving software assurance
- Consistent application of documented software engineering and management processes, including technical reviews, in alignment with contract/order requirements

A3.26.1.4 **Logistics Support.** Assess the success of the contractor's performance in accomplishing logistics planning. For example, maintenance planning; manpower and personnel; supply support; support equipment; technical provisioning data; training and support; computer resources support; facilities; packaging, handling, storage and transportation; design interface; the contractor's performance of logistics support analysis activities and the contractor's ability to successfully support fielded equipment. When the contract/order requires technical and/or engineering data deliverables, the cognizant cataloging and/or standardization activity comments should be solicited.

A3.26.1.5 **Product Assurance.** Assess how successfully the contractor meets program quality objectives; e.g., producibility, reliability, maintainability, inspectability, testability, and system safety, and controls the overall manufacturing process. The PM or contracting officer must be flexible in how contractor success is measured, e.g., data from design test/operational testing successes, field reliability and maintainability and failure reports, user comments and acceptance rates, improved subcontractor and vendor quality, and scrap and rework rates. These quantitative indicators may be useful later, for example, in source selection evaluations, in demonstrating continuous improvement, quality and reliability leadership that reflects progress in total quality management. Assess the contractor's control of the overall manufacturing process to include material

control, shop floor planning and control, status and control, factory floor optimization, factory design, and factory performance.

A3.26.1.6 Other Technical Performance. Assess all the other technical activity critical to successful contract/order performance. Identify any additional evaluation aspects that are unique to the contract/order or that cannot be captured in another sub-element.

A3.26.2 For Non-Systems contracts/orders, consider the following aspects of contractor performance:

A3.26.2.1 Assess the contractor's conformance to contract/order requirements, specifications and standards of good workmanship (e.g., commonly accepted technical, professional, environmental, or safety and health standards). Include, as applicable, information on the following:

- Are reports/data accurate?
- Does the product or service provided meet the specifications of the contract/order?
- Does the contractor's work measure up to commonly accepted technical or professional standards?
- What degree of Government technical direction was required to solve problems that arise during performance?

A3.26.2.2 For Operations Support: Assess how successfully the contractor meets program/project quality objectives such as producibility, reliability, maintainability and inspectability. The AO must be flexible in how contractor success is measured; e.g., using data from field reliability and maintainability and failure reports, user comments and acceptance rates, and scrap and rework rates. These quantitative indicators may be useful later, for example, in source selection evaluations, in demonstrating continuous improvement, quality and reliability leadership that reflects progress in total quality management. Assess the contractor's control of the overall production process to include material control, shop planning and control, and status.

A3.26.3 For Architect-Engineer contracts/orders, consider the following aspects of contractor performance:

A3.26.3.1 Quality reflects the contractor's management of the quality control program, as well as the quality of the work itself. Questions which should be addressed are as follows: Has a quality product been provided? Specifically describe the quality and the contractor's quality control system responsible for it, for example:

- Ability to maintain quality control
- Ability to address and review comments
- Independent Technical Review
- Whether plans are coordinated with specifications
- Coordination between disciplines
- Compliance with design criteria

To support the assigned rating, the Assessing Official Comments should contain sufficient comments, based on supporting documentation and include success and failures as well as specific corrective actions as appropriate.

A3.26.4 **For Construction** contracts/orders, consider the following aspects of contractor performance:

A3.26.4.1 Quality reflects the contractor's management of the quality control program, as well as the quality of the work itself. Questions which should be addressed are as follows: Has a quality product been provided? Specifically describe contractor's quality control system responsible for it, for example:

- Ability to maintain quality control
- Performance of accessory testing
- Implementation of 3-phase inspection process
- CQC (Contractor Quality Control) documentation
- Identification and correction of deficient work
- Reviews of materials and shop drawings
- Whether there was incorporation of unspecified materials

To support the assigned rating, the Assessing Official Comments should contain sufficient comments, based on supporting documentation and include success and failures as well as specific corrective actions, as appropriate.

A3.27 **Schedule.** Assess the timeliness of the contractor against the completion of the contract, task orders, milestones, delivery schedules, and administrative requirements (e.g., efforts that contribute to or affect the schedule variance).

This evaluation of the contractor's adherence to the required delivery schedule should include the contractor's efforts during the evaluation period that contribute to or affect the schedule variance. Also, address significance of scheduled events (e.g., design reviews), discuss causes, and assess the effectiveness of contractor corrective actions. This element applies to contract/order closeout activities as well as contract/order performance. Instances of adverse actions such as the evaluation of liquidated damages or issuance of Cure Notices, Show Cause Notices, and Delinquency Notices are indicators of problems which may have resulted in variance to the contract/order schedule and should, therefore, be noted in the evaluation.

Questions to consider include the following:

- Is the contractor completing the design/engineering services activities in a timely manner? This includes administrative activities, as well as meeting all scheduled milestones in the design process.
- Did the contractor adequately schedule the work?
- Has the contractor met administrative milestone dates?
- Has the contractor met physical milestone dates specified by contract or agreed to in the project schedule?
- If the schedule has slipped through the contractor's fault or negligence, has he taken appropriate corrective action of his own volition?

- Has the contractor furnished all required deliverables on or ahead of schedule?
- Is the contractor completing the construction activities in a timely manner? This includes administrative activities, as well as physical construction activities such as submittal of a management response to Request for Proposal (RFPs), etc.
- Has the contract furnished updated project schedules on a timely basis?

A3.28 Cost Control. (Not required for Fixed Price type contracts/orders). Assess the contractor's effectiveness in forecasting, managing, and controlling contract/order cost. If the contractor is experiencing cost growth or underrun, discuss the causes and contractor-proposed solutions for the cost overruns or underruns. For contracts/orders where task or contract sizing is based upon contractor-provided person hour estimates, the relationship of these estimates to ultimate task cost should be assessed. In addition, the extent to which the contractor demonstrates a sense of cost responsibility, through the efficient use of resources, in each work effort should be assessed. Include, as applicable, the following information:

- Does the contractor keep within the total estimated cost (what is the relationship of the negotiated costs and budgeted costs to actuals)?
- Did the contractor do anything innovative that resulted in cost savings?
- Were billings current, accurate and complete?
- Are the contractor's budgetary internal controls adequate?
- Has the contractor provided a design that can be constructed with the available funds?
- Has the contractor notified the Government and taken necessary corrective actions when the cost estimate exceeds available funds?

Evaluation information regarding performance under an Undefined Contract Action (UCA), including letter contracts and actions where price has not yet been negotiated, shall be included in the annual evaluation. If the final negotiated contract/order type is not a cost-type, cost information for the period the UCA was in effect shall be included under the Cost Control element. If the final negotiated contract/order type is a cost-type, cost information for the entire period of performance shall be included under the Cost Control rating element. The narrative shall fully explain the contractor's performance during the UCA, including definitization of the contract/order. The contractor's performance under the UCA shall be separately identified but considered in the overall annual ratings.

A3.29 Management. Assess the integration and coordination of all activity needed to execute the contract/order, specifically the timeliness, completeness and quality of problem identification, corrective action plans, proposal submittals, the contractor's history of reasonable and cooperative behavior (to include timely identification of issues in controversy), customer satisfaction, timely award and management of subcontracts. Include, as applicable, information on the following:

- Is the contractor oriented toward the customer?

- Is interaction between the contractor and the government satisfactory or does it need improvement?
- Include the adequacy of the contractor's accounting, billing, and estimating systems and the contractor's management of Government Furnished Property (GFP) if a substantial amount of GFP has been provided to the contractor under the contract/order.
- Address the timeliness of awards to subcontractors and management of subcontractors, including subcontract costs. Consider efforts taken to ensure early identification of subcontract problems and the timely application of corporate resources to preclude subcontract problems from impacting overall prime contractor performance.
- Assess the prime contractor's effort devoted to managing subcontracts and whether subcontractors were an integral part of the contractor's team. Are the contractor's management, on-site, and home office personnel exhibiting the capacity to adequately plan, schedule, resource, organize and otherwise manage the work? If not, describe and relate to other rated elements.

Consider the following aspects of performance:

A3.29.1 Management Responsiveness. Assess the timeliness, completeness and quality of problem identification, corrective action plans, proposal submittals (especially responses to change orders, Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs), or other UCAs), the contractor's history of reasonable and cooperative behavior, effective business relations, and customer satisfaction. Consider the contractor's responsiveness to the program as it relates to meeting contract/order requirements during the period covered by the report.

A3.29.2 Subcontract Management. Assess the contractor's success with timely award and management of subcontracts.

- Assess the prime contractor's effort devoted to managing subcontracts and whether subcontractors were an integral part of the contractor's team.
- Consider efforts taken to ensure early identification of subcontract problems and the timely application of corporate resources to preclude subcontract problems from impacting overall prime contractor performance.
- Consider efforts taken to ensure prompt subcontractor payment
- Assess the prime contractor's managing of subcontractors to ensure compliance with labor and safety standards at the subcontract level
- If the contract is set aside for small business, assess the contractor's compliance with any limitations on subcontracting

A3.29.3 Program Management and Other Management. Assess the extent to which the contractor discharges its responsibility for integration and coordination of all activity needed to execute the contract/order; identifies and applies resources required to meet schedule requirements; assigns responsibility for tasks/actions required by contract/order; communicates appropriate information to affected program elements in a timely manner. Assess the contractor's risk management practices, especially the ability to identify risks

and formulate and implement risk mitigation plans. If applicable, identify any other areas that are unique to the contract/order, or that cannot be captured elsewhere under the Management element.

A3.29.3.1 Integration and coordination of activities should reflect those required by the Integrated Master Plan/Schedule. Also consider the adequacy of the contractor's mechanisms for tracking contract/order compliance, recording changes to planning documentation and management of cost and schedule control system, and internal controls, as well as the contractor's performance relative to management of data collection, recording, and distribution as required by the contract/order.

A3.29.4 Management of Key Personnel (Applicable when the contract/order contains a Key Personnel clause). Assess the contractor's performance in selecting, retaining, supporting, and replacing, when necessary, key personnel. For example:

- How well did the contractor match the qualifications of the key position, as described in the contract/order, with the person who filled the key position?
- Did the contractor support key personnel so they were able to work effectively?
- If a key person did not perform well, what action was taken by the contractor to correct this?
- If a replacement of a key person was necessary, did the replacement meet or exceed the qualifications of the position as described in the contract/order schedule?

A3.30 Utilization of Small Business. FAR Subpart 19.7 and 15 U.S.C. 637 contains statutory requirements for complying with the Small Business Subcontracting Program. Assess whether the contractor provided maximum practicable opportunity for Small Business (including Alaska Native Corporations (ANCs) and Indian Tribes) (including Small Disadvantaged Businesses (which also includes ANCs and Indian Tribes), Women Owned Small Businesses, HUBZone, Veteran Owned, Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business, and ANCs and Indian Tribes that are not Small Disadvantaged Businesses or Small Businesses) to participate in contract/order performance consistent with efficient performance of the contract/order.

A3.30.1 Assess compliance with all terms and conditions in the contract/order relating to Small Business participation (including FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Businesses and FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan (when required). Assess any small business participation goals which are stated separately in the contract/order. Assess achievement on each individual goal stated within the contract/order or subcontracting plan including good faith effort if the goal was not achieved.

A3.30.2 It may be necessary to seek input from the Small Business specialist or Contracting Officer in regards to the contractor's compliance with these criteria. For DoD in cases where the contractor has a comprehensive subcontracting plan, request DCMA Comprehensive Subcontracting Plan Manager to provide input including any program specific performance information.

A3.30.3 For contracts/orders subject to a commercial subcontracting plan, the Utilization of Small Business factor should be rated “satisfactory” as long as an approved plan remains in place, unless liquidated damages have been assessed by the contracting officer who approved the commercial plan (see FAR 19.705-7(h)). In such case, the Utilization of Small Business area must be rated “unsatisfactory”.

A3.30.4 This area must be rated for all contracts and task orders that contain a small business subcontracting goal.

A3.30.5 Ratings will be in accordance with definitions described in [Attachment 2, "Evaluation Ratings Definitions For The Small Business Evaluation Factor"](#) when 52.219-9 is used.

A3.30.6 Evaluations of the utilization of small business are required for contracts and orders placed against basic ordering agreement (BOA), blanket purchase agreement (BPA), or GWAC/MAC if a subcontracting plan is required. Evaluations of utilization of small business for single-agency task orders and delivery orders are required when the contracting officer determines, in accordance with FAR Subpart 42.1502(d), it is more appropriate to complete a CPAR on each task/delivery order rather than completing a consolidated CPAR for the indefinite delivery contract and each exercised option year period. Execution of any subcontracting plan may be addressed in the narrative section for the Assessing Official.

Although FAR 19.705-2(e) states a contract may have no more than one subcontracting plan, performance against small business utilization may be more appropriately managed through individual task orders to achieve overall contract small business subcontracting goals as indicated in preceding paragraph.

Although paragraph 2.1.1 requires a CPAR to be completed for each order placed against multi-agency indefinite delivery vehicle (IDV), the subcontracting utilization assessment must be completed by the agency that awarded the contract unless the contract ordering instructions allow for separate small business subcontracting goals to be incorporated into each order. Multi-agency IDVs include federal Supply Schedules (FSS), Government Wide Acquisition Contracts (GWAC), and Multiple Agency Contracts (MAC).

A3.31 Regulatory Compliance. Assess compliance with all terms and conditions in the contract/order relating to applicable regulations and codes. Consider aspects of performance such as compliance with financial, environmental (example: Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act), safety, and labor regulations as well as any other reporting requirements in the contract. Consider questions such as the following:

- Has the contractor complied with all contract clauses and reporting requirements (e.g., FAPIIS, FAR Subsection 9.104-6, FAR Clause 52.209-9)?

- Has the contractor complied with Cost Accounting Standards and disclosure statements (FAR Subpart 30.2, FAR Clauses 52.230-2, 52.230-3, 52.230-4, 52.230-5, 52.230-6)?
- Has the contractor complied with the reporting requirements relating to recovered material content utilized in contract performance (see FAR Subpart 23.4)?
- Has the contractor complied with contractual safety requirements and labor laws (see FAR Subsection 22.407, FAR Clauses 52.222-4, 52.222-34, 52.222-20)?
- Perform engineering surveillance to assess compliance with contractual terms for schedule, cost, and technical performance in the areas of design, development, and production (FAR Subpart 42.302).
- Has the contractor complied with Hazardous Material Identification and Material Safety Data (see FAR Subpart 23.3, FAR Clauses 52.223-3)?
- Has the contractor complied with specifications or other contractual requirements requiring the delivery or use of environmentally preferable products, energy-efficient products, products containing recovered materials, and biobased products (FAR Subparts 23.2, 23.4, 23.7)? Monitor the contractor's environmental practices for adverse impact on contract performance or contract cost, and for compliance with environmental requirements specified in the contract.
- Has the contractor implemented an effective safety program; one which minimizes/mitigates potential accidents (FAR Subsection 36.513, FAR Clause 52.236-13)?
- Is the contractor complying with affirmative action and EEO compliance requirements (see FAR Subpart 22.8, FAR Clauses 52.222-26, 52.222-27)?
- Has the contractor complied with combating trafficking in persons (see FAR Subpart 22.17, FAR Clause 52.222-50)

For compliance with environmental regulations, consider the following:

Ensuring sustainable acquisition compliance in federal contracts requires the evaluation of contractor performance inclusive of all requirements from the contract SOW/PWS; contract clauses; and other applicable contract terms and conditions. The [GSA Sustainable Facilities Tool](#)¹⁹ is a one-stop online resource that provides useful information regarding sustainability regulations and guidelines. Additionally, the information contained in the chart below regarding the federal green programs and the applicable legal basis/regulation may be useful to the assessing official in determining how to evaluate the contractor's performance in these areas.

For example, if evaluating contractor performance on a construction contract to retrofit plumbing, the evaluator should check the SOW, the [Sustainable Facilities Tool](#), and the chart below. The SOW most likely specified WaterSense products. As such, the evaluator should check the WaterSense information from the [Sustainable Facilities Tool](#) and the chart below to ensure that the various products used in support of the contract meet the WaterSense criteria.

¹⁹ <http://www.gsa.gov/portal/content/231801>

Program	Legal Basis/Regulation	Link to more info
Alternative fuel vehicles/Alternative fuels	Energy Policy Act, E.O. Sec. 701 of Energy Policy Act of 2005 and EOs 13423 and 13514	http://energy.gov/eere/femp/articles/energy-policy-act-2005 , http://www.epa.gov/oaintrnt/practices/eo13423.htm , http://www.epa.gov/oaintrnt/practices/eo13514.htm www.epa.gov/greeningepa/greenfleet
Biobased content. Biobased products.	Farm Security and Rural Investment Act (2002 Farm Bill), Section 9002, E.O. 13423, The 2008 Food, Conservation, and Energy Act added new biobased product reporting requirements.	http://www.biopREFERRED.gov/Biobased_Products.aspx http://awic.nal.usda.gov/public-law-110-246-food-conservation-and-energy-act-2008
Chemicals	Pollution Prevention Act of 1990	http://www.epa.gov/p2/pubs/p2policy/act1990.htm
Comprehensive Procurement Guidelines, Recycled content products,	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Section 6002, E.O. 13423	www.epa.gov/cpg
Energy STAR and Energy efficient products	Energy Policy Act of 1992 and 2005 and Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007	www.energystar.gov
ENERGY STAR and FEMP-designated products, and low stand-by devices	Energy Policy Act, Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, E.O.s 13423, 13514, and 13221	http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/program/edfleet_management.html
EPEAT	EO 13514 and 13423 FAR Part 23	http://ww2.epeat.net/searchoptions.aspx http://ww2.epeat.net/searchoptions.aspx
Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP)	Assists in the implementation of The FAR; Environmentally	www.epa.gov/epp http://www.epa.gov/epp/pubs/products/index

	Sound Products requires Executive agencies to identify and purchase environmentally preferable products and services	http, http://www.epa.gov/epp/index.htm , http://www.nifa.usda.gov/nea/plants/pdfs/cafe_teriaware.pdf , http://www.biopreferred.gov/ , http://www.epa.gov/oppt/greenmeetings/
Low or non-toxic or non-hazardous chemicals		http://www.epa.gov/osw/nonhaz/index.htm , www.epa.gov/wastes/hazard/wastemin/priority.htm
Non-ozone depleting substances	Clean Air Act, E.O. 13423	www.epa.gov/ozone/snap/lists/index.html
Water Efficient Products, equipment, and programs that decrease indoor and outdoor nonagricultural water use (i.e., WaterSense)	Energy Policy Act of 1992 and 2005	www.epa.gov/watersense http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-energy-policy-act , http://www.epa.gov/watersense/products/index.html

A3.32 Other Areas. Specify additional evaluation areas that are unique to the contract/order, or that cannot be captured elsewhere in the evaluation. More than one type of entry may be included, but should be separately labeled. If extra space is needed, use the Assessing Official Comments area.

A3.32.1 If the contract/order contains an award fee clause, enter "award fee" as one of the "Other Areas". The AO should translate the award fee earned to adjectival ratings which could prove more useful for using past performance to assess future performance risk in upcoming source selections. If award fee information is included in the CPAR, use the Assessing Official Comments area to provide a description for each award fee. Include the scope of the award fee by describing the extent to which it covers the total range of contract/order performance activities, or is restricted to certain elements of the contract/order.

A3.32.2 If any other type of contract/order incentive is included in the contract/order (excluding DoD contract/order shareline incentives on fixed price or cost-type contracts/orders), it should be reported in a manner similar to the procedures described above for award fee (by entering "Incentive" as one of the "Other Areas").

A3.32.3 Use "Other Areas" in those instances where an aspect of the contractor's performance does not fit into any of the other blocks on the form. As an example, this

block may be used to address security issues, provide an evaluation of provisioning line items or other areas as appropriate.

A3.33 Assessing Official Comments (see [Paragraph 1.4](#)). A factual, detailed narrative is required for all evaluations regardless of rating (e.g., even “satisfactory” ratings require narrative support). Cross-reference the comments in the Assessing Official Narrative to their corresponding evaluation area. Each detailed narrative statement in support of the area evaluation must contain clear and concise objective information that accurately reflects the contractor’s performance under the contract or order. It is also important for the information reported to include current, accurate, and complete statements about the contractor’s performance because this information will be used to assist, inform, and influence future source selection and award decisions. An exceptional cost performance evaluation could, for example, cite the current underrun dollar value and estimate at completion. A marginal evaluation could, for example, be supported by information concerning personnel changes or schedule delinquency rate. Key personnel familiar with the effort may have been replaced by less experienced personnel. Sources of the data used by the AO for the evaluation may include operational test and evaluation results; technical interchange meetings; production readiness reviews; earned contract/order incentives; award fee evaluations; customer/field surveys; or evaluation of contractor reports. The Contracting Officer should be contacted to ensure that all applicable data has been incorporated. The Assessing Official Comments may be up to 24,000 characters per evaluation area in the CPARS AIS.

A3.33.1 The AO must select the applicable choice to the following statement after the Assessing Official Comments: “Given what I know today about the contractor's ability to perform in accordance with this contract or order's most significant requirements, I ('would' or 'would not') recommend them for similar requirements in the future.”

A3.34 Name and Title of Assessing Official. The AO enters his or her name, title, and organization, phone number (in the following format: (XXX)XXX-XXXX), and email address, and signs the evaluation prior to making it available to the contractor for review. The date of AO signature will be populated automatically by the CPARS AIS.

A3.35 Contractor Comments. Completed at the option of the contractor. The contractor’s narrative comments may be up to 24,000 characters per evaluation area. If the contractor chooses to enter comments, they must also indicate if they concur or do not concur with the government’s evaluation.

A3.36 – Name and Title of Contractor Representative. The contractor representative reviewing/commenting on the CPAR will enter his or her name, title, phone number, and email address, and signs the evaluation prior to returning it to the AO. The date of contractor signature will be populated automatically by the CPARS AIS.

A3.37 Review by Reviewing Official. The RO must acknowledge consideration of any significant discrepancies between the AO evaluation and the contractor's comments. The RO’s narrative comments may be up to 24,000 characters.

A3.38 Name and Title of Reviewing Official. The RO will enter his or her name, title, organization , phone number (in the following format: (XXX)XXX-XXXX), and email address, when completing the CPAR. (See [Table 1](#) “Business Sector, Dollar Threshold and Reviewing Official” for guidance as to who may act as the RO.) The date of RO signature will be populated automatically by the CPARS AIS.

List of Acronyms

- A -

ACASS	Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System
AFP	Alternate Focal Point
AIS	Automated Information System
ANCs	Alaska Native Corporations
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
AO	Assessing Official
AOR	Assessing Official Representative

- B -

BAC	Budget at Completion
BOA	Basic Ordering Agreement
BPA	Blanket Purchase Agreement

- C -

C4I	Command, Control, Communication, Computer and Intelligence
CAR	Contract Action Report
CCASS	Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System
CCB	Change Control Board
CCC	Canadian Commercial Corporation
CDR	Critical Design Review
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO	Contracting Officer
COR	Contracting Officer Representative
COTS	Commercial Off The Shelf
CPAR	Contractor Performance Assessment Report
CPARS	Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System
CPR	Cost Performance Report
CPS	Contractor Performance System
CQC	Contractor Quality Control
CR	Contractor Representative
C/SSR	Cost/Schedule Status Report
CTA	Contractor Team Arrangement

- D -

DCMA	Defense Contract Management Agency
DoD	Department of Defense
DUNS	Dun & Bradstreet Universal Numbering System

- E -

EAC	Estimate At Completion
ECP	Engineering Change Proposal
EIA	Electronic Industries Alliance
EO	Executive Order

EPEAT	Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool
EPP	Environmentally Preferable Products
EVMS	Earned Value Management System

- F -

FAPIIS	Federal Awardee Procurement and Integrity Information System
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulation
FCA	Functional Configuration Audit
FEMP	Federal Energy Management Program
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FP	Focal Point
FPDS	Federal Procurement Data System
FPDS-NG	Federal Procurement Data System – Next Generation
FSS	Federal Supply Schedule
FY	Fiscal Year

- G -

GAO	Government Accountability Office
GFP	Government Furnished Property
GOTS	Government Off The Shelf
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSA	General Services Administration
GWAC	Government Wide Acquisition Contract

- H -

HUBZone	Historically Underutilized Business Zone
---------	--

- I -

IAE	Integrated Award Environment
IDIQ	Indefinite-Delivery-Indefinite-Quantity
IDV	Indefinite-Delivery Vehicle
ISR	Individual Subcontracting Report

- M -

MAC	Multi-Agency Contract
M-ATV	Marine All-Terrain Vehicle
MRAP	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected
MTS	Multi-band Threat Simulator

- N -

N/A	Not Applicable
NAICS	North American Industrial Classification System

- O -

OFPP	Office of Federal Procurement Policy
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OTB	Over Target Baseline

- P -

PGM	Precision Guided Munitions
PgM	Program Manager
PKI	Public Key Infrastructure
PM	Program Manager
POC	Point of Contact
PPI	Past Performance Information
PPIRS	Past Performance Information Retrieval System
PPIRS-RC	Past Performance Information Retrieval System-Report Card
PSC	Product or Service Code
PWS	Performance Work Statement

- R -

RC	Report Card
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RE	Resident Engineer
RO	Reviewing Official
RSA	Randolph-Sheppard Act

- S -

S&T	Science and Technology
SAP	Special Access Program
SAT	Simplified Acquisition Threshold
SB	Small Business
SDB	Small Disadvantaged Businesses
SDVOSB	Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business
SLA	State Licensing Agency
SOW	Statement of Work
SR	Statistical Reporting
SRE	Senior Resident Engineer
SSR	Summary Subcontracting Report

- U -

UCA	Undefinitized Contract Action
US	United States
USC	United States Code

- V -

VA	Veteran's Affairs
VAC	Variance at Completion
VOSB	Veteran-Owned Small Business

- W -

WOSB	Women-Owned Small Business
------	----------------------------

Features of the CPARS website (<https://www.cpars.gov>) include:

- The [System Logon](#)²⁰ where official CPARS evaluations are entered.
- [Access Forms](#)²¹ for Focal Points and Corporate Senior Contractor Representatives.
- [Contacts](#)²² with information for contacting the CPARS Customer Support Desk.
- [Frequently Asked Questions \(FAQs\)](#)²³ with frequently asked questions for CPARS and FAPIIS.
- [Guidance](#)²⁴ with policy guidance and memos, including the Federal CPARS Guidance document and the CPARS User Manual.
- [Release History](#)²⁵ detailing enhancements and date of publication.
- [Related Links](#)²⁶ including a link to PPIRS.
- [System Requirements](#)²⁷ detailing hardware/software requirements, information on user accounts, information on the security of the CPARS application, and technical service support.
- A [Training](#)²⁸ link where the user can register to attend automated online (video) training, user group training (on site instructor led), and instructor led web online training.
- A [Quality Checklist](#)²⁹ that tutors users on completing a quality past performance evaluation. The Quality Checklist includes sample narratives.

²⁰ <https://cpars.cpars.gov/cpars/app/home.do>

²¹ <http://www.cpars.gov/accessforms/userforms.htm>

²² <http://www.cpars.gov/main/contacts.htm>

²³ <http://www.cpars.gov/main/faqs.htm>

²⁴ <http://www.cpars.gov/main/refmatl.htm>

²⁵ <http://www.cpars.gov/main/cparsrel.htm>

²⁶ <http://www.cpars.gov/main/links.htm>

²⁷ <http://www.cpars.gov/main/sysreq.htm>

²⁸ <http://www.cpars.gov/allapps/cpcbtldf.htm>

²⁹ <http://www.cpars.gov/cparsfiles/pdfs/CPARSQualityChecklist.pdf>